Protection risk assessment

|  |
| --- |
| THIS NOTE CAN BE READ OUT LOUD  image |

|  |
| --- |
| Introduction |
| THIS FORM IS INTENDED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON PROTECTION RISKS IDENTIFIED BY OPERATIONAL CLUSTER PARTNERS ACROSS ETHIOPIA. THE TOOL ASSESSES THE PRESENCE OF PROTECTION RISKS – INCLUDING RISK OF GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE AND SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AND ABUSE – AND STRATEGIES THAT EACH ORGANIZATION CAN IMPLEMENT TO MITIGATE THESE. THE DATA GATHERED WILL BE USED BY THE PROTECTION CLUSTER FOR: 1. MAP AND ANALYZE PROTECTION RISKS FOR VULNERABLE POPULATIONS ACROSS THE COUNTRY, TO INFORM THE RESPONSE. 2. CONTRIBUTE TO THE FORMULATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF COORDINATED AND EFFECTIVE PROTECTION RISK MITIGATION MEASURES. 3. ADVOCATE FOR MEANINGFUL ASSISTANCE AND RESOURCES FOR CRITICAL PROTECTION ISSUES. THIS TOOL HAS BEEN ENDORSED BY THE ETHIOPIA HUMANITARIAN COUNTRY TEAM, AND THEREFORE IS CONSIDER A MANDATORY TOOL FOR ALL IMPLEMENTING PARTNERS MEMBERS OF A CLUSTER TO CONTRIBUTE TO  TIMELY JOINT PROTECTION ANALYSIS. CONSENT STATEMENT BY SUBMITTING THIS FORM ON BEHALF OF YOUR ORGANIZATION, YOU, ACKNOWLEDGE THAT YOU HAVE INFORMED ABOUT THE PURPOSE OF THE DATA COLLECTION AND HOW IT WILL BE USED, THEREFORE, YOU CONSENT TO THE USE OF THE DATA YOU'LL SUBMIT THROUGH THIS FORM YOU MAY REFUSE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTION OR WITHDRAW FROM THE DATA GATHERING PROCESS AT ANY MOMENT WITHOUT PENALTY. THE INFORMATION YOU ENTER WILL BE KEPT CONFIDENTIAL AND USED EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE PURPOSES SPECIFIED IN THIS INTRODUCTION. THE DATA WILL BE AGGREGATED AND ANONYMIZED TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE TO SAFEGUARD YOUR ORGANIZATION'S CONFIDENTIALITY AND ANY SENSITIVE INFORMATION. YOU WILL BE NOTIFIED ABOUT THE AGGREGATED FINDINGS OF THE ANALYSIS AND HOW IT WILL BE USED. |
| CONSENT STATEMENT: BY SUBMITTING THIS FORM, YOU ACCEPT THAT YOU HAVE BEEN INFORMED ABOUT THE PURPOSE OF THE DATA COLLECTION AND HOW IT WILL BE USED. YOU ARE AWARE THAT YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THIS DATA COLLECTION IS VOLUNTARY. YOU MAY REFUSE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTION OR WITHDRAW FROM THE DATA GATHERING PROCESS AT ANY MOMENT WITHOUT PENALTY. THE INFORMATION YOU ENTER WILL BE KEPT  CONFIDENTIAL AND USED EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE PURPOSES SPECIFIED IN THIS INTRODUCTION. THE DATA WILL BE AGGREGATED AND ANONYMIZED TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE TO SAFEGUARD YOUR ORGANIZATION'S  CONFIDENTIALITY AND ANY SENSITIVE INFORMATION. YOU WILL BE NOTIFIED ABOUT THE IMPORTANT FINDINGS OF THE INVESTIGATION AND HOW THE DATA WILL BE USED. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| A PROTECTION RISK IS THE ACTUAL OR POTENTIAL EXPOSURE OF THE AFFECTED POPULATION TO VIOLENCE, COERCION, OR DELIBERATE DEPRIVATION. FROM AN OPERATIONAL STANDPOINT, A PROTECTION RISK REFERS TO THE INTENSITY AND DAMAGE OR HARM RESULTING FROM A HUMAN ACTIVITY OR A PRODUCT OF HUMAN ACTIVITY AFFECTING AN INDIVIDUAL OR GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS. THE HARM MAY NEGATIVELY AFFECT THE PHYSICAL OR  MENTAL INTEGRITY OF PERSONS, THEIR MATERIAL SAFETY AND/OR VIOLATE THEIR RIGHTS. HUMAN ACTIVITY MAY BE A DIRECT ACT, MEASURE OR POLICY, BUT IT MAY INCLUDE AS WELL AS SITUATIONS OF INACTION BY DUTY-BEARERS. COMPLETE THIS FORM TO THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE, CONSIDERING THE PROTECTION RISKS THAT MAY ARISE DURING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF YOUR PROJECT ACTIVITIES. YOU CAN FILL THIS REPORT MULTIPLE TIME, PER EACH ACTIVITY AND LOCATION. THIS FORM IS TO BE USED REGULARLY, EVERY TIME A PROTECTION RISK IS IDENTIFIED OR A NEW ACTIVITY IS IMPLEMENTED. YOU CAN FIND MORE GUIDANCE ON HOW TO COMPLETE THIS FORM AND KEY EXAMPLES OF RISKS AND POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES THAT MAY EMERGE IN CONJUNCTION WITH ACTIVITIES THAT ARE COMMONLY CARRIED OUT BY HUMANITARIAN PARTNERS IN ETHIOPIA BY CLICKING THE WEB LINK ON "  PROTECTION RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX ETHIOPIA." |
| PRESS [PROTECTION RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX ETHIOPIA](https://reliefweb.int/report/ethiopia/protection-risk-assessment-matrix-ethiopia) FOR ACCESSING MORE GUIDANCE ON HOW TO COMPLETE THIS FORM AND KEY EXAMPLES OF RISKS AND POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES |
| DO YOU AGREE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS SURVEY? \*  Yes  No |
| NAME OF YOUR ORGANIZATION \* |
| DATE OF ASSESSMENT \*  *"Enter the date of the assessment."* |

|  |
| --- |
| LIST OF ACTIVITY. PLEASE CHOOSE ALL THAT APPLIES.   1. Beneficiary registration / Maintaining Site Resident Database. 2. Distribution of food, water and/or NFIs and/or agricultural inputs or services (livestock vaccination), in kind or cash  1. Construction or rehabilitation of shelter (including camps) or other facilities (including health facilities, schools, irrigation schemes…).  1. Construction or rehabilitation of WASH facilities and/or livestock water points.  1. Provision of health services / other services involving consultation, counselling, personal information sharing.  1. Provision of education services.  1. Provision of training and/or awareness-raising sessions.  1. Information sharing and community participation.  1. Establishing complaint and feedback mechanism |
| REPORTING CLUSTER \*  *Select the Cluster from the list*  Protection Cluster  GBV AoR  Child Protection AoR  Mine Action AoR  HLP AoR  Agriculture Cluster  Health Cluster  Education Cluster  CCCM Cluster  Food Cluster  Shelter/NFI Cluster  Other  Nutrition Cluster  WASH Cluster  Logistic Cluster |

|  |
| --- |
| Location |
| REGION  Addis Ababa  Afar  Amhara  Benishangul-Gumuz  Central Ethiopia  Contested  Dire Dawa  Gambela  Harari  Oromia  Sidama  Somali  South Ethiopia  South West Ethiopia  Tigray |
| ZONE |
| WOREDA |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| POPULATIONS AFFECTED OR WHO COULD BE IMPACTED? \*  Boys 0-5  Boys 6 – 17  Girls 0-5  Girls 6-17  Women  Older people  Single women  Women-headed households  Children-headed households  Persons with Disabilities  Unaccompanied and separated children  Other | | |
| IF OTHER, PLEASE SPECIFY \* | | |
| AFFECTED VULNERABLE POPULATION GROUPS \*  IDPs  IDP Returnees  Host communities  Returning migrants | | |
| THE KEY PROTECTION RISKS AFFECTED COMMUNITIES OR HUMANITARIANS MAY BE EXPOSED TO THROUGH THIS ACTIVITY'S IMPLEMENTATION. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE LEVEL OF RISKS AND IMPACTS FOR EACH ACTIVITIES. | | |
| Activity 1: Registration / Maintaining Site Resident Database. | | |
| ACTIVITY 1: REGISTRATION / MAINTAINING SITE RESIDENT DATABASE | WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD OF THIS RISK ACTUALLY OCCURRING?: | WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL OF IMPACT ON AFFECTED PEOPLE AND/OR HUMANITARIAN  WORKERS? |
|  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 1: REGISTRATION PROCESS BECOMES A PULL FACTOR FOR | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| NEARBY POPULATIONS,  INDUCING  DISPLACEMENT AND EXPOSING POPULATIONS TO RISKS OF HARM | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 2: HEIGHTENING OF TENSIONS BETWEEN IDPS, HOST | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| COMMUNITIES, "GATE-  KEEPERS", AUTHORITIES AND/OR  HUMANITARIAN WORKERS AROUND THE REGISTRATION PROCESS  / DATABASE, LEADING TO RISK OF VIOLENCE OR HARM | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity 2: Distribution of food and/or NFIs and/or agricultural inputs or services (livestock vaccination), in kind or cash. | | |
| ACTIVITY 2: DISTRIBUTION OF FOOD AND/OR NFIS AND/OR AGRICULTURAL INPUTS OR SERVICES (LIVESTOCK VACCINATION), IN KIND OR | WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD OF THIS RISK ACTUALLY  OCCURRING? | WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL OF IMPACT ON AFFECTED PEOPLE AND/OR HUMANITARIAN  WORKERS? |
| CASH. | |
|  | | |
| RISK 1: DISTRIBUTION PROCESS BECOMES A PULL FACTOR FOR | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| NEARBY POPULATIONS,  INDUCING  DISPLACEMENT AND EXPOSING POPULATIONS TO RISKS OF HARM | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| RISK 2: REGISTRATION FOR DISTRIBUTION AND/OR DISTRIBUTION | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| SITE IS NOT ACCESSIBLE  TO VULNERABLE GROUPS OF THE AFFECTED POPULATION (BARRIERS TO ACCESS TO HUMANITARIAN  ASSISTANCE) | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| RISK 3: HEIGHTENING OF TENSIONS BETWEEN IDPS, HOST | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| COMMUNITIES, "GATE-  KEEPERS", AUTHORITIES AND/OR  HUMANITARIAN WORKERS AROUND THE DISTRIBUTION, LEADING TO RISK OF VIOLENCE OR HARM | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| RISK 4: RISK OF ATTACKS/THEFT/INTIMID ATION/EXTORTION | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| AGAINST PEOPLE  TARGETED BY THE DISTRIBUTION | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| RISK 5: RISK OF GBV (INCLUDING SEA) FOR AFFECTED POPULATION | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| BEFORE, DURING AND  AFTER REGISTRATION AND/OR DISTRIBUTION | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity 3: Construction of shelter (including camps) or other facilities (including health facilities, schools, irrigation schemes…) | | |
| ACTIVITY 3: CONSTRUCTION OF SHELTER (INCLUDING CAMPS) OR OTHER FACILITIES (INCLUDING HEALTH FACILITIES, SCHOOLS, IRRIGATION SCHEMES…) | WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD OF THIS RISK ACTUALLY  OCCURRING? | WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL OF IMPACT ON AFFECTED PEOPLE AND/OR HUMANITARIAN  WORKERS? |
|  | |
|  | | |
| RISK 1: RISK OF GBV (INCLUDING SEA) FOR AFFECTED POPULATION | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| DUE TO  CHARACTERISTICS OF  THE FACILITIES AND/OR THE LOCATION WHERE THEY ARE PLACED | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| RISK 2: SHELTER/FACILITY IS NOT ACCESSIBLE TO | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| VULNERABLE GROUPS  OF THE AFFECTED POPULATION (BARRIERS TO ACCESS) | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 3: RISK OF TENSION WITH MEMBERS OF  HOST COMMUNITIES | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| AND/OR EVICTION DUE  TO HOUSING, LAND & PROPERTY ISSUES | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| Activity 4: Construction of WASH facilities and/or livestock water points | | |
| ACTIVITY 4: CONSTRUCTION OF WASH FACILITIES AND/OR  LIVESTOCK WATER POINTS | WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD OF THIS RISK ACTUALLY  OCCURRING? | WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL OF IMPACT ON AFFECTED PEOPLE AND/OR HUMANITARIAN  WORKERS? |
|  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 1: RISK OF GBV (INCLUDING SEA) FOR AFFECTED POPULATION | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| DUE TO  CHARACTERISTICS OF THE LATRINES AND/OR THE LOCATION WHERE THEY ARE PLACED | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 2: RISK OF GBV (INCLUDING SEA) FOR AFFECTED POPULATION | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| DUE TO  CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WATER POINTS  AND/OR THE LOCATION WHERE THEY ARE PLACED | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 3: RISK OF TENSION WITH HOST COMMUNITIES DUE TO | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| CONSTRUCTION OF  WASH FACILITIES AND/OR LIVESTOCK WATER POINTS FOR IDPS | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 4: WASH FACILITIES AND/OR LIVESTOCK WATER POINTS ARE NOT | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| ACCESSIBLE TO  VULNERABLE GROUPS OF THE AFFECTED POPULATION (BARRIERS TO ACCESS) | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity 5: Provision of health services / other services involving consultation, counselling, personal information sharing | | |
| ACTIVITY 5: PROVISION OF HEALTH SERVICES / OTHER SERVICES INVOLVING CONSULTATION, COUNSELLING, PERSONAL INFORMATION | WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD OF THIS RISK ACTUALLY  OCCURRING? | WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL OF IMPACT ON AFFECTED PEOPLE AND/OR HUMANITARIAN  WORKERS? |
| SHARING | |
|  | | |
| RISK 1: RISK OF GBV (INCLUDING SEA) FOR PEOPLE RECEIVING  HEALTH/CONSULTATION/COUNSEL  LING SERVICES | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
|  | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 2: RISK OF  EXPOSURE OF AFFECTED PEOPLE TO ADDITIONAL | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| RISKS DUE TO LACK OF  CONFIDENTIALITY IN THE PROVISION OF SERVICES | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 3: SERVICES ARE NOT ACCESSIBLE TO  VULNERABLE GROUPS | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| OF THE AFFECTED  POPULATION (BARRIERS TO ACCESS TO SERVICES) | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 4: RISK OF TENSION WITH HOST COMMUNITIES DUE TO | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| PROVISION OF  HEALTH/CONSULTATION  /COUNSELLING SERVICES FOR IDPS | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| Activity 6: Provision of education services | | |
| ACTIVITY 6: PROVISION OF EDUCATION SERVICES | WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD OF THIS RISK ACTUALLY  OCCURRING? | WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL OF IMPACT ON AFFECTED PEOPLE AND/OR HUMANITARIAN  WORKERS? |
|  |
|  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 1: RISK OF SCHOOL- BASED GBV (INCLUDING SEA) FOR | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| CHILDREN/STUDENTS  AND EDUCATION PERSONNEL | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 2: EDUCATION SERVICES ARE NOT ACCESSIBLE TO | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| VULNERABLE GROUPS  OF THE AFFECTED POPULATION (BARRIERS TO ACCESS TO SERVICES) | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

RISK 3: RISK OF TENSION WITH HOST COMMUNITIES DUE TO PROVISION OF EDUCATION SERVICES FOR IDPS

Low Medium

High Not-applicable

\* Low Medium \*

High Not-applicable

TYPE OF IMPACT

1. Direct harm to populations 2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm

3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm 4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance

5. Inability to deliver assistance 6. Organizational reputation

1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response
2. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised
3. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries
4. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients
5. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback
6. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability. Other

MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE)

MONITORING PLAN

*Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.*

WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE)

Activity 7: Provision of training and/or awareness-raising sessions

ACTIVITY 7: PROVISION OF TRAINING AND/OR AWARENESS-RAISING SESSIONS



WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD OF THIS RISK ACTUALLY

OCCURRING?

WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL OF IMPACT ON AFFECTED PEOPLE AND/OR

HUMANITARIAN WORKERS?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 1: RISK OF GBV (INCLUDING SEA) FOR PEOPLE RECEIVING THE | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| TRAINING | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 2: RISK OF  EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE (EO) CONTAMINATION | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| AND/OR RELATED  INCIDENTS DUE TO THE LOCATION OF THE TRAINING | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| Activity 8: Information sharing and community participation | | |
| ACTIVITY 8: INFORMATION SHARING AND COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION | WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD OF THIS RISK ACTUALLY  OCCURRING? | WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL OF IMPACT ON AFFECTED PEOPLE AND/OR HUMANITARIAN  WORKERS? |
|  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PARTICIPATION RISK 1: DISINFORMATION AND DENIAL OF ACCESS TO | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| INFORMATION | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 2: RISK OF TENSIONS WITH AFFECTED | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| COMMUNITIES DUE TO  LACK OF  REPRESENTATION OF VULNERABLE GROUPS IN THE AFFECTED POPULATION IN  PROJECT  IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEES (SELECTION  CRITERIA/TARGETING/VA LIDATION) | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity 9: Establishing complaint and feedback mechanism | | |
| ACTIVITY 9: ESTABLISHING COMPLAINT AND FEEDBACK MECHANISM | WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD OF THIS RISK ACTUALLY  OCCURRING? | WHAT WOULD BE THE LEVEL OF IMPACT ON AFFECTED PEOPLE AND/OR HUMANITARIAN  WORKERS? |
|  | |
| RISK 1: IMPEDIMENT AND RESTRICTION OF ACCESSING EXISTING | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| COMPLAINT AND  FEEDBACK MECHANISM | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| RISK 2: HEIGHTEN TENSIONS BETWEEN AFFECTED | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable | Low  Medium \*  High  Not-applicable |
| COMMUNITIES AND  HUMANITARIAN WORKERS DUE TO LACK OF RESPONSE TO COMPLAINTS RAISED  THROUGH CFMS | | |
| TYPE OF IMPACT  1. Direct harm to populations  2. Exposure of populations to risk of harm  3. Exposure of humanitarian staff to risk of harm  4. Reduced ability to deliver assistance  5. Inability to deliver assistance  6. Organizational reputation   1. Loss of trust in humanitarian organizations and response  1. Best interest of vulnerable communities and affected people is compromised  1. Non-participation/involvement of the beneficiaries  1. Activity perceive as lacking transparency and potentially diverting aid from eligible aid recipients  1. Vulnerable communities and affected people are unable to voice their grievances and feedback 2. Mistrust about feedback and complaint mechanisms / concerns of effectiveness and accountability.  Other | | |
| MITIGATION MEASURE - WHAT ARE WAYS YOUR ORGANIZATION COULD REDUCE THE RISK IDENTIFIED OR ITS IMPACT? PLEASE DESCRIBE (LIST OF ACTION POINTS/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| MONITORING PLAN  *Describe the actions to monitor the mitigation measures.* | | |
| WHAT ARE THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE/NEEDED TO IMPLEMENT THE MITIGATION MEASURES IDENTIFIED? (LIST/SHORT NARRATIVE) | | |
| PRESS [PROTECTION RISKS: EXPLANATORY NOTE | GLOBAL PROTECTION CLUSTER](https://globalprotectioncluster.org/publications/994/policy-and-guidance/guidelines/protection-risks-explanatory-note) FOR ACCESSING MORE GUIDANCE ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE BELOW FORM AND LIST OF PROTECTION RISKS | | |

|  |
| --- |
| LIST OF IDENTIFIED PROTECTION RISKS IN THE LOCATION SPECIFIED ABOVE?   1. Abduction, kidnapping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary or unlawful arrest and/or detention 2. Attacks on civilians and other unlawful killings, and attacks on civilian objects  1. Child and forced family separation  1. Child, early or forced marriage  1. Discrimination and stigmatization, denial of resources, opportunities, services and/or humanitarian access  1. Disinformation and denial of access to information  1. Forced recruitment and association of children in armed forces and groups  1. Gender-based violence  1. Impediments and/or restrictions to access to legal identity, remedies and justice  1. Presence of Mine and other explosive ordnance  1. Psychological/emotional abuse or inflicted distress  1. Theft, extortion, forced eviction or destruction of personal property  1. Torture or cruel, inhuman, degrading treatment or punishment  1. Trafficking in persons, forced labour or slavery-like practices  1. Unlawful impediments or restrictions to freedom of movement, siege and forced displacement |
| THANK YOU ONCE AGAIN FOR YOUR VALUABLE TIME AND PARTICIPATION IN THIS PROTECTION RISK ASSESSMENT SURVEY. YOUR INSIGHTS ARE CRUCIAL FOR THE PROTECTION CLUSTER'S ANALYSIS AND WILL HELP US TO BETTER UNDERSTAND AND ADDRESS PROTECTION NEEDS. WE APPRECIATE YOUR CONTRIBUTION |