

### STRENGTHENING ENGAGEMENT WITH HPC

2024




Core Session 4: From Risks to PiN

**IM Approach** 

GLOBAL PROTECTION
CONFERENCE FIELD ENGAGEMENT
& PREPARATION

### **2024 LEARNING SERIES 2024 STRATEGIC TIMELINE**





# **Session objectives**

## Agenda


- ✓ Overview of revised approach for people exposed to Protection Risks Affected
- ✓ Overview of revised approach for severity of Needs and People in Need - PiN
- ✓ Presentation of available tools / methodology



# Presentation of 2024 analysis and planning steps

#### **2024 TIMELINE HNO & HRP ANALYSIS AND PLANNING STEPS**






# **From Protection Risks to PiN**

### **Relation between GPU and HNO Severity**

#### **Subnational severity assessment based on VALUE JUDGEMENT**

It should be carried out at the same admin level of the HNO scope





# People in need dimensions

Three proxy indicators corresponding to each dimensions are used consistently by the Protection Cluster and the Areas of Responsibility across all countries, to have a common and comparable approach in the definition of PIN.







**PARTICIPATION** 

**ACCESS TO SERVICES** 

Individual's physical, emotional, psychological, and social safety, is not discriminated based on personal conditions or characteristics.

Individual's are able to engage in safe practices, including participation in social, educational, and economic activities of their choice.

Individuals have access to services and justice, proportionate to age, gender, and diversity needs.

> Indicators already used by GPC and AoRs

\*Working draft

**DIMENSION** 

**INDICATOR** 

**SUB-INDICATORS** 

Freedom of movement

% of individuals, which physical, emotional, psychological and social safety is not discriminated by their personal conditions, activities and characteristics (SAFETY)






### **Relation between GPU and HNO Severity**

#### **Subnational severity assessment based on VALUE JUDGEMENT**


#### If no data available JUNE-SEPTEMBER

Severity can be used for HNO severity



#### If partial data available JUNE-SEPTEMBER

Severity can be revised based on data



#### If data available JUNE-SEPTEMBER

Consolidated use of 3 needs dimensions.



### What is available to IMOs / Coordinators

#### **METHODOLOGY DOCUMENT**



- Protection cluster methodological approach
- PIN/Severity Guidance (common to AoRs)

### Clarification vis-à-vis other sectors and OCHA on:

- Use of value judgement elicitation
- Ensure coherence between using risks and JIAF needs approach

#### PROTECTION RISKS CRITERIA



 Criteria to guide value judgement and convergence of evidence



Increase credibility and reliability of risk prioritization

#### **COMMON DATABANKS**



- Question bank
- Indicators bank (organized against PAF, 15 risks and Needs Pillars)

Global alignment on questions/data vis-à-vis external actors (REACH, DTM, AoRs, etc..)

#### IM TOOL (RISK PRIORITIZATION TOOL and SEVERITY PIN)







**Combined Excel based tool** (risk prioritization and needs severity / PiN)



# People Affected (at risk) Methodology

### **Prediction Model - People Exposed to Risk**


#### **Predictors used for the Model**


- Protection Risks score GPU
- ACLED data (events and fatalities)
- Target and PiN data from previous year







#### Predictive Model for Returnees Population





### **People Exposed to Risk**






Location



### **People Exposed to Risk**





# **Severity & PiN Methodology**

(Data Rich Environment)

# Overall approach to HNO analysis

RISK SEVERITY
JUNE

**PAU** 





- Proactive contribution to HNO scope
- Briefing/engagement ICCG, HC, HCT
- GPC proactive engagement with donors/OCHA
- Sector specific joint approaches for HNO data collection and analysis

AGGREGATED PROTECTION RISKS SEVERITY







HNO Outcome indicator HR/IHL
 Violations

PROTECTION
CLUSTER SECTOR
SEVERITY / PIN



- People exposed to **protection risks** to reinforce defence
- Final severity / PIN results and justification




### What is common between PC and AoRs

- Use of the three needs dimensions to classify indicators for PIN
- Information needs harmonization against protection risks
- Use of protection risks severity in addition to PC and AoRs severity for final calculation
- Jointly revised language of Protection risks severity criteria (test)

In 2024 we would need to adapt and learn from application.



### **Step 1 - South Sudan Protection Risks Severity Map**



Data from risk severity (15 risks)

Protection Risk	Rank 🗐
GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE	1
THEFT, EXTORTION, FORCED EVICTION OR	2
DESTRUCTION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY	2
UNLAWFUL IMPEDIMENTS OR RESTRICTIONS TO	
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT, SIEGE AND FORCED	3
DISPLACEMENT	
DISCRIMINATION AND STIGMATIZATION, DENIAL OF	
RESOURCES, OPPORTUNITIES, SERVICES AND/OR	4
HUMANITARIAN ACCESS	
CHILD AND FORCED FAMILY SEPARATION	5
ATTACKS ON CIVILIANS AND OTHER UNLAWFUL	6
KILLINGS, AND ATTACKS ON CIVILIAN OBJECTS	•
TORTURE OR CRUEL, INHUMAN, DEGRADING	7
TREATMENT OR PUNISHMENT	•
ABDUCTION, KIDNAPPING, ENFORCED	_
DISAPPEARANCE, ARBITRARY OR UNLAWFUL ARREST	8
AND/OR DETENTION	
FORCED RECRUITMENT AND ASSOCIATION OF	9
CHILDREN IN ARMED FORCES AND GROUPS	
TRAFFICKING IN PERSONS, FORCED LABOUR OR	10
SLAVERY-LIKE PRACTICES	44
CHILD, EARLY OR FORCED MARRIAGE	11
PRESENCE OF MINE AND OTHER EXPLOSIVE	12
ORDNANCE	
PSYCHOLOGICAL/EMOTIONAL ABUSE OR INFLICTED	13
DISTRESS	
IMPEDIMENTS AND/OR RESTRICTIONS TO ACCESS TO	14
LEGAL IDENTITY, REMEDIES AND JUSTICE	14
DISINFORMATION AND DENIAL OF ACCESS TO	45
INFORMATION	15



# **Step 2 – select Protection Risk(s) Indicators**

#### **Select Needs Dimensions Indicators**

SECTOR / AOR	INDICATOR	QUESTION	OPTIONS		
			No freedom of movement		
Protection			Some restrictions		
	restrictions in the last 3 months	current location?	No restriction		
			Left the house to engage with the army or armed groups		
D++: CD			Got separated during displacement (if displaced household)		
Protection - CP		_	Kidnapped/abducted		
	average number of separated girls and boys	nousenoiu:	Missing (left and no news)		
Protection - GBV	% of households reporting at least one female member of the household avoided areas in the community in the last 3 months, by	Over the past 3 months, how often, if ever,	Always		
		women and girls of your household had to	several times		
			Just once or twice		
	frequency	and waterpoints, because of security concerns?	Never		
			No access to health services		
		Over the nast year, have you or any member in	No access to education services		
			No access to water and sanitation facilities		
			No access to livelihood opportunities		
		services?	No access to markets		
	discinination, by type of service		No access to food assistance		
		If yes, what were the services you could not	No access to public administration		
		access or had difficulty to access ?	No possibility to visit family members		
	Protection  Protection - CP	Protection  % of HHs that have experienced movement restrictions in the last 3 months  % of households with at least one child (<18) not residing in the household, by reason and average number of separated girls and boys  % of households reporting at least one female member of the household avoided areas in the community in the last 3 months, by	Protection    **Sof HHs that have experienced movement restrictions in the last 3 months  **Can people move around freely within your current location?  **What are the reason(s) for why your children/child are/is not living in the household, by reason and average number of separated girls and boys  **Protection - GBV**  **Of households reporting at least one female member of the household avoided areas in the community in the last 3 months, by frequency  **Over the past 3 months, how often, if ever, women and girls of your household had to avoid areas of the community, such as markets and waterpoints, because of security concerns?  **Over the past year, have you or any member in your household experienced any form of discrimination when attempting to access basic services?		



# **Step 3 – Weights for sub-indicators**

- Determine The Weights For Each Indicator
- Assigned Based On Expert Judgement

Pillar 1: SAFETY											
% of HHs that have e		lds with at least on the distribution of the d	average numbe	_							
Can people mov cur	e around freely rent location?	within your		ne reason(s) fo /is not living i		_					
Preferenc	e Aggregation Me	thod	F	reference Aggr	egation Metho	d					
3	2	0	3	1	2	2					
No freedom of movement	Some restrictions	No restriction	Left the house to engage with the army or armed groups	during displacement (if displaced	Kidnapped/ab ducted	Missing (left and no news)					



# **Step 4 – Decision Tree Matrix**

- Each pillar has equal preference
- Each combination of Pillar 1, 2 and 3 severity has a calculated average
- Protection Risks as modifier for the final severity score

Pillar1: SAFETY	Pillar2: PARTICIPATION IN ACTIVITIES	Pillar3: ACCESS	ProtectionRisks	AverageScore	FinalScore
1	1	1	1	1.0	1
2	1	1	1	1.3	1
3	1	1	1	1.7	2
4	1	1	1	2.0	2
5	1	1	1	2.3	2
1	2	1	1	1.3	1
2	2	1	1	1.7	2
3	2	1	1	2.0	2
4	2	1	1	2.3	2
5	2	1	1	2.7	3
1	3	1	1	1.7	2
2	3	1	1	2.0	2
3	3	1	1	2.3	2
4	3	1	1	2.7	3
5	3	1	1	3.0	3
1	4	1	1	2.0	2
2	4	1	1	2.3	2



# Step 4 – Decision Tree Matrix (example)

Pillar 1	Pillar 2	Pillar 3	Protection Risks
4	3	5	4

- The average severity score: 4 + 3 + 5 divided by 3 = 4
- Protection Risks as conditional modifier

Pillar1: SAFETY	Pillar2: PARTICIPATION IN ACTIVITIES	Dillary Arrecc	ProtectionRisks	AverageScore	FinalScore
4	3	5	1	4.0	4
4	3	5	2	4.0	4
4	3	5	3	4.0	4
4	3	5	4	4.0	4
4	3	5	5	4.0	4



# **Step 5 – Final HH Level Severity**

• This step allows you to estimate severity at household level, first by calculating the score for each protection risk indicator, then by generating the final score as per the decision-tree.

				PILLAR 3	3: SERVICES							
Over the past year, have you or any member in your household experienced any form of discrimination when attempting to access basic services of had difficulty to access?												
1 0.5		1	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0.5				
No access to health services	No access to education services	No access to water and sanitation facilities	No access to livelihood opportunities	access to	No access to food assistance	No access to public administrati on	No possibility to visit family members	No access to own land/property	Pillar 3 Severity Score	Final HH Severity Score		
1	0.5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3		
1	0.5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2		
1	0.5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3		
1	0.5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3		
	٥٢											



### **Step 6 – Overall Severity & by Pop groups**

- The five severity scores are consolidated from the household level to the chosen administrative level.
- 20% rule for severity phase.

IDP										]			
ADM1_pcode	ADM 1	ADM2_pcode	ADM2	Affected Popluation	Severity Phase 1	Severity Phase 2	Severity Phase 3	Severity Phase 4	Severity Phase 5	inal Severity (population Group level)		PiN (severity 3)	Total PiN  ▼
SSOO	Abyei Administr	SS0001	Abyei Region	33,194	0%	28%	72%	0%	0%	3	-	23,973	23,973
SS01	Central Equator	SS0101	Juba	141,588	0%	44%	56%	0%	0%	3	-	79,123	79,123
SS01	Central Equator	SS0102	Kajo-keji	16,797	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	3	-	16,797	16,797
SS01	Central Equator	SS0103	Lainya	14,021	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	3	-	14,021	14,021
SS01	Central Equator	SS0104	Morobo	17,188	1%	0%	79%	20%	0%	3	3,359	13,632	16,990
SS01	Central Equator	SS0105	Terekeka	7,817	0%	42%	58%	0%	0%	3	-	4,539	4,539
SS01	Central Equator	SS0106	Yei	44,394	12%	15%	73%	0%	0%	3	-	32,442	32,442
SS02	Eastern Equator	SS0201	Budi	3,833	37%	5%	59%	0%	0%	3	-	2,251	2,251
cenn	Eastern Fauster	ะะกากา	lkotos	ורכ ס	4.007	2024	4004	4.007	00/	9	1 000	// 110	E 217

Admin level severity by taking the MAX from all pop groups

ADM1_pcode	ADM 1	ADM2_pcode	ADM2	~	Final Severity - (ADMIN level)
SS00	Abyei Administ	SS0001	Abyei Regio	n	3
SS01	Central Equato	SS0101	Juba		3
SS01	Central Equato	SS0102	Kajo-keji		3
SS01	Central Equato	SS0103	Lainya		3
SS01	Central Equato	SS0104	Morobo		3
SS01	Central Equato	SS0105	Terekeka		3
SS01	Central Fouato	SS0106	Yei		3



# **Severity & PiN Methodology**

(Data Poor Environment)

# **Overall Severity & PiN**

If no data available JUNE-SEPTEMBER

If partial data available JUNE-SEPTEMBER

				TOP 5 PROTECTION RISKS SEVERITY SCORES								
ADM2_pcode	ADM2 ▼	Affected Popluation	GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE	THEFT, EXTORTION, FORCED EVICTION OR DESTRUCTION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY	UNLAWFUL IMPEDIMENTS OR RESTRICTIONS TO FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT, SIEGE AND FORCED DISPLACEMENT	STIGMATIZATION, DENIAL OF	CHILD AND FORCED FAMILY SEPARATION	Final Severity Score	PiN			
SS0001	Abyei Region	33,194	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 2 (Low)	Phase 2 (Low)	Phase 2 (Low)	Phase 2 (Low)	3	19,916			
SS0101	Juba	141,588	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	3	84,953			
SS0102	Kajo-keji	16,797	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	3	10,078			
SS0103	Lainya	14,021	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	3	8,413			
SS0104	Morobo	17,188	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	3	10,313			
SS0105	Terekeka	7,817	Phase 2 (Low)	Phase 2 (Low)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	Phase 2 (Low)	Phase 2 (Low)	2	3,127			
SS0106	Yei	44,394	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 2 (Low)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	3	26,636			
SS0201	Budi	3,833	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	3	2,300			
SS0202	Ikotos	8,375	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	3	5,025			
SS0203	Kapoeta East	3,282	Phase 2 (Low)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 1 (Very Low)	Phase 3 (Medium)	Phase 3 (Medium)	3	1,969			



# Q&A

