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Internal displacement is at an unprecedented global peak. At the end of 2015, 40 million people were internally displaced by armed conflict and violence; Africa is home to nearly a third of them. Although internal displacement often remains invisible, it disrupts lives, threatens communities, and affects countries as a whole. The international system is overstretched by the number and complexity of large-scale, protracted internal displacement crises. Therefore, the primary responsibility of governments to address the needs of their internally displaced citizens must be reemphasized. However, often States lack legal and policy frameworks to respond to the specific needs and vulnerabilities of internally displaced persons. The Kampala Convention seeks to close this gap.

As the world’s first legally-binding regional instrument on internal displacement, the Kampala Convention is a milestone for internally displaced persons in Africa and throughout the world. It represents the commitment of African States to addressing the problem of displacement in a comprehensive fashion. The Convention provides guarantees against forced displacement and standards for the protection of and assistance to persons during displacement, as well as durable solutions, while also addressing the root causes of displacement. The full implementation of the Kampala Convention can not only guide African States in their response to internal displacement on the continent, but also serve as an inspiration for other regions.

One of the key priorities during my mandate as special rapporteur has been advocating for the full implementation of the Kampala Convention.

In keeping with the International Committee of the Red Cross’s long-term engagement with promoting the ratification and implementation of the Kampala Convention, this report represents its contribution to advancing the operationalization of the Convention. It offers a comprehensive picture of the efforts taken by African States in introducing national legislation and policies and adopting concrete measures to comply with their obligations to protect and assist IDPs. The lessons learned, best practices, and challenges identified, build the foundation for further recommendations on strengthening the implementation of the Kampala Convention. The report can serve as a tool to support the dialogue and exchange of experiences between States party to the Convention as well as other States in their way forward. With this aim in mind, it offers a reference point for the upcoming first Conference of State Parties.

I commend the International Committee of the Red Cross for its continuous commitment on disseminating the Kampala Convention and supporting authorities in finding effective ways to turn the Convention into practice. This is an important contribution to achieving better protection and assistance for internally displaced persons.

Chaloka Beyani
United Nations Special Rapporteur on the Human Rights of Internally Displaced Persons
FOREWORD BY THE COMMISSIONER FOR POLITICAL AFFAIRS OF THE AFRICAN UNION COMMISSION

The adoption of the Kampala Convention on 23 October 2009 signified a historic milestone for internally displaced persons (IDPs). The journey leading up to the Convention was a deliberate effort by African leaders to deal with the growing challenges of forced displacement on the continent. It was motivated by the acknowledgment of the disruptive nature of the phenomenon of displacement as well as the desire to fill the legal gap in the protection of IDPs on the continent. Indeed, with the adoption of the Kampala Convention, African leaders took bold steps and adopted comprehensive measures with respect to internal displacement in all its forms.

Furthermore, the Kampala Convention constitutes the contribution by the African Union (AU) to setting international norms and standards for the protection of and assistance to IDPs. However, its importance can only be realized through its full implementation, which will ensure achieving effective protection of and assistance to IDPs.

Thus, as we approach the Convention’s 4th anniversary, let us remember the spirit of the Convention and the call by the heads of State during the Special Summit for a speedy ratification and implementation. The Convention can best be implemented if it is signed, ratified, domesticated and implemented across the continent.

The responsibility for preventing and mitigating internal displacement, protecting and assisting IDPs and finding durable solutions, lies primarily with the Member States concerned. However, in situations where the State is unable to carry out these obligations effectively, it is expected to seek, enable and facilitate the assistance of the AU, international organizations and humanitarian agencies.

The AU, in collaboration with international organizations and civil society, will continue to support Member States in the domestication and implementation of the Kampala Convention. A study of such nature carried out by the International Committee of the Red Cross is timely and useful. It is a tool to reflect on what is needed to overcome challenges in the operationalization of the Convention, while simultaneously building upon ongoing good practices.

This December marks four years since the entry into force of the Kampala Convention. The African Union Commission, in accordance with Article 14, will convene the first Conference of States Parties. This will offer an opportunity to promote solidarity and cooperation in addressing the problem of IDPs on the continent and to work out an implementation framework for the Convention. This report will provide guidance for the discussions during the Conference.

By adopting this ground-breaking legal instrument, AU Member States have taken the lead in addressing the plight of millions of IDPs. However, the Convention will have its desired impact only if it is speedily signed, ratified and implemented across the continent.

H.E. Aisha Abdullahi
Commissioner for Political Affairs of the African Union Commission
The displacement of millions of people within their own countries, whether due to natural disasters, armed conflict or other situations of violence, became a pressing humanitarian concern in the second half of the twentieth century. The number of internally displaced people (IDPs) has continued to grow in this new century, resulting in severe humanitarian, social and economic costs.

Africa is a continent especially affected by this trend. In response to the challenge of preventing and addressing internal displacement on the continent, African States joined forces through the African Union (AU) to create the African Union Convention for the Protection and Assistance of Internally Displaced Persons in Africa (the Kampala Convention, or the Convention) in 2009. This innovative treaty, the world’s first ever legally-binding instrument on IDPs, entered into force in 2012, after 15 African States ratified it. Today, 25 African States are party to the Kampala Convention, while another 18 have signed but not yet ratified it.

The International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) launched a stocktaking exercise to support the efforts of the AU, Regional Economic Communities (RECs), Regional Mechanisms for Conflict Prevention, Management and Resolution (RMs), and States party to the Kampala Convention in monitoring and effectively implementing the Convention. Our aim in undertaking this exercise is simple: to help increase the Convention’s effectiveness in reducing internal displacement caused by armed conflict and other situations of violence and in improving protection of and assistance to IDPs in Africa.

The ICRC has long worked to protect and assist IDPs around the world, as part of our mandate to help people affected by armed conflict and other situations of violence. The ICRC has also been engaged from the outset in supporting the Kampala Convention. We were involved in the drafting of the Convention, providing legal advice relating to international humanitarian law (IHL) and supporting the negotiation process leading to the adoption of the Convention in October 2009. Since then, the ICRC has been working closely with the AU Commission (AUC) and African States to encourage and support ratification, national implementation and adoption of practical measures for the operationalization of the Kampala Convention. To this end, we offer legal advice on ways in which the Convention can be adopted and domestic normative frameworks to implement the Convention strengthened. In addition, in our operational dialogue with States across Africa, we recommend concrete measures that can be taken by States to adopt and implement the Convention.

The ICRC has for several decades produced tools that provide technical support and guidance to States on the national implementation of IHL and other relevant legal frameworks. The ICRC capitalized on this expertise and experience in carrying out the present stocktaking exercise. In addition, we were able to benefit from our field presence in Africa, where ICRC delegations in 29 countries carry out protection and assistance activities for IDPs, host communities and all those suffering the consequences of armed conflict or other situations of violence.

Throughout the first half of 2016, ICRC delegations across Africa provided updates and analysis on national developments relating to IDPs including States’ latest actions to join, nationally implement and operationalize the Kampala Convention. These included States that have ratified the Kampala Convention as well as States that have not, but have adopted domestic normative frameworks or policies on the protection of IDPs, based on provisions of the 1998 Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement (the Guiding Principles) and two of the Great Lakes Pact Protocols. Some States that are facing situations of internal displacement, but have no formal domestic framework in place, were also considered.

An independent consultant commissioned by the ICRC compiled and analysed this field input and drafted Findings (in the form of lessons learned, some examples of good practices and key challenges) and Recommendations. These reflect the analysis of State practice in 25 African countries.

The Findings provide a picture of the current level of operationalization of the Kampala Convention. They outline States’ efforts to discharge their responsibilities related to preventing and addressing internal displacement.
The Recommendations, intended for States but also for other organizations (e.g. AU, RECs and RMs, the UN, the ICRC and civil society organizations), are based on the Findings, as well as on additional research and consultations within the ICRC and with selected external stakeholders. They are structured as proposed actions to address challenges in implementing the Convention, capturing insight into ways in which current implementation of the Convention can be strengthened. The Recommendations are all without prejudice to the duty of States to carry out their respective obligations under international and domestic law.

The focus of the stocktaking exercise, and hence of this report, has been on those Kampala Convention obligations that are directly drawn from IHL and/or relate to humanitarian issues that the ICRC encounters in operations across Africa.

In the writing of this report, we have endeavoured to keep the following questions in mind:

- What impact does the Kampala Convention have on the ground?
- What difference can it make in the lives of IDPs?
- What more needs to be done – by States, the AU, RECs, RMs, the ICRC and other organizations and humanitarian actors – for the full implementation of the Kampala Convention to become a reality?

The report contains more than 80 Findings and 25 Recommendations. They are grouped under five main headings, according to the legal obligations and related measures required for their implementation:

1. Prevention
2. Planning, management and monitoring of protection and assistance activities
3. Providing adequate humanitarian assistance to IDPs
4. Protection of IDPs
5. Durable solutions for IDPs

The ICRC hopes that each of these Findings and Recommendations will be of interest to some States, depending on their own particular approach to the Kampala Convention. Several cross-cutting themes emerged in the formulation of the Findings and Recommendations, of which three merit particular mention:

1. The importance for States and other actors of engaging in dialogue with IDP communities in order to ensure their meaningful participation in decision-making on law, policies and programmes that affect them;
2. The urgent need for ensuring access of IDPs to essential services such as health care and education;
3. The vital roles the AU – and RECs and RMs – will play in the future in reinforcing the efforts of AU Member States to fully implement the Kampala Convention.

As part of the lessons learned drawn from the stocktaking exercise, the report underlines that efforts must be made before a crisis occurs to ensure that obligations under the Kampala Convention are translated into domestic law. This includes putting in place the necessary structures with mandates and resources to respond to the protection and assistance needs of IDPs. Effective coordination between the different ministries and government agencies concerned, as well as between the central, regional and local levels of public authorities, is crucial. In particular, experience reveals the importance of ensuring an inclusive process that engages all key domestic actors, starting with IDPs and host communities, who need to be involved in consultations, information-sharing and decision-making.

When it comes to ensuring access for IDPs to essential goods and basic services (e.g. health care, education and family tracing and reunification services), the lack of human and financial resources is often an obstacle for States to fulfil their primary obligations. Thus, effective access to IDPs by humanitarian organizations is a key factor in meeting the needs of those IDPs. Equally important is a commitment by public authorities and international actors to abide by humanitarian principles in providing assistance to IDPs.
On ensuring effective protection of IDPs, the report explores the challenges of striking the right balance between humanitarian and security considerations in regulating the movement of IDPs, as well as those of maintaining the strictly civilian and humanitarian character of IDP settings. On another note, the difficulties often faced by IDPs in obtaining official documents (e.g. personal identity documents) have an impact on their ability to access basic services and livelihood options. One important lesson learned is that efficient strategies for providing or replacing such documents deliver dividends in responding to urgent humanitarian needs.

When an armed conflict is ongoing, measures can and, in many cases, must be taken, by States and other parties to the conflict, to prevent displacement from occurring in the first place. This is especially true in ensuring respect for IHL and increasing efforts to protect civilians and limit the harm to which they are exposed. In practice, violations of IHL continue to be a major cause of conflict-related internal displacement in Africa. The challenge here is to strengthen States’ commitment (and capacity) to respect and ensure respect for IHL.

Finally, concerning the important role played by the AU, RECs and RMs on the way forward, the report confirms the need for further coordinated efforts to increase awareness of internal displacement issues and to promote the Kampala Convention among AU Member States.

Today, the Kampala Convention provides a comprehensive framework that has already begun to bring concrete improvements to the daily lives of many IDPs in Africa. To realize its full potential, however, it needs to be systematically and comprehensively translated into practice by African States. The hope is that this report will contribute to the important work of fully operationalizing the Kampala Convention, to the benefit of current and future IDPs in Africa.

LIST OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The full set of the report’s recommendations is compiled here for ease of reference. Each recommendation and its supporting rationale can be reviewed in context within the report.

1. PREVENTION

For States

Recommendation 1: States that have not yet done so, should expedite their ratification of, or accession to, the Kampala Convention.

Recommendation 2: States party to the Kampala Convention should initiate and complete actions to incorporate treaty obligations in domestic law and policy processes, including criminal prohibitions, requesting advice and technical support if/as needed.

Recommendation 3: States should take steps to promote knowledge of the Kampala Convention by all the actors concerned, including IDPs themselves, host communities, civil society and public authorities, at central, regional and local levels.

Recommendation 4: States should ensure that all relevant public authorities – including armed and security forces – are fully informed of their obligations and instructed to respect and ensure respect for international humanitarian law.

For other actors

Recommendation 5: International and humanitarian organizations should continue to offer technical advice and support to AU Member States, not only for ratification of the Kampala Convention, but also for the full range of domestic implementation measures required under national legal and policy frameworks.
Recommendation 6: The AUC, RECs, RMs, and other organizations with expertise in this domain should expand public awareness-raising and capacity-building activities on the Kampala Convention, in coordination with States and local civil society actors. The African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights (ACHPR) and its special rapporteur on refugees, asylum seekers and internally displaced persons can also play a part in this regard, in accordance with their mandates and the role attributed to them by the Convention.

Recommendation 7: The AUC and AU Member States should ensure that the first Conference of States Parties takes place as soon as possible and adopts a comprehensive plan of action/road map on the operationalization of the Kampala Convention.

2. PLANNING, MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING OF PROTECTION AND ASSISTANCE ACTIVITIES

For States
Recommendation 8: States should take steps to ensure that the designated coordinating authority or body has the necessary mandate and legitimacy to mobilize all ministries and agencies concerned, and is granted adequate resources (human and financial) to function effectively.

Recommendation 9: Public authorities should develop adequate capacities at all levels to gather and maintain solid and current data on the needs, vulnerabilities and capacities of IDPs, as well as on durable solutions, including disaggregated data (by sex, age and other relevant factors).

Recommendation 10: Public authorities should proactively ensure consultation and active engagement of IDPs and host communities in order to ensure their participation in decision-making on actions undertaken on their behalf. This engagement should take into account the diverse profiles of the displaced population (e.g. sex, age and other factors).

Recommendation 11: Public authorities at all levels with responsibilities for delivering assistance should establish mechanisms for monitoring short and longer-term outcomes. These should incorporate appropriate elements of accountability to IDPs.

For other actors
Recommendation 12: When supporting States to develop laws and policies, including national strategies on internal displacement, other actors should favour approaches that ensure the maximum ownership on the part of the authorities.

3. PROVIDING ADEQUATE HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE TO IDPS

For States
Recommendation 13: Assessments should look at the needs and capacities of IDPs, as well as those of host communities, local authorities and services, to continuously inform the design of programme responses.

Recommendation 14: States should develop capabilities to foresee, assess and respond effectively to the multiple needs of IDPs.

Recommendation 15: States should ensure that all relevant public authorities – including armed and security forces – are fully informed of their obligations and instructed to facilitate rapid and unimpeded access of humanitarian organizations to IDPs. They should also ensure that IDPs can meet their basic needs (water, food, shelter, etc.) and access essential services (medical care, education, etc.).
**Recommendation 16:** Other actors should ensure that requests for rapid and unimpeded access to IDPs by humanitarian organizations, as well as activities carried out pursuant to such access, be in full accordance with the principles of humanity, neutrality, impartiality and independence of humanitarian actors.

### 4. PROTECTION OF IDPs

**For States**

**Recommendation 17:** States should ensure that all relevant public authorities – including armed and security forces – are fully informed of their obligations and instructed to facilitate freedom of movement and residence of IDPs.

**Recommendation 18:** Public authorities should develop adequate capacities at central and local levels to create and maintain an updated register of all IDPs. This can provide an agreed-upon baseline for all the actors concerned.

**Recommendation 19:** Public authorities should endeavour to allocate adequate efforts and resources to ensure that IDPs are able to obtain personal identity documents and other official documents within a reasonable time.

**Recommendation 20:** Public authorities should strengthen their laws, policies and concrete measures to ensure that the civilian and humanitarian character of IDP sites is maintained.

**For other actors**

**Recommendation 21:** International and humanitarian actors should provide coordinated support to States to ensure a practical and effective system to address family tracing and family reunification needs.

### 5. DURABLE SOLUTIONS FOR IDPS

**For States**

**Recommendation 22:** States should ensure that all branches and agencies of the public authorities are fully informed of the need for meaningful consultation with and active engagement of IDPs and host communities in decision-making on durable solutions.

**Recommendation 23:** States should proactively initiate dialogue with international and national partners and donors on issues related to durable solutions in order to fulfil their own international obligations.

**Recommendation 24:** States (and other relevant stakeholders) should ensure that any peace agreement contains specific provisions and recommendations on addressing and solving existing situations of internal displacement, as necessary, taking into account the challenges of the context.

**For other actors**

**Recommendation 25:** The UN and other international actors that are in a position to do so should contribute to monitoring conditions of return, with particular attention to the perspectives and concerns of the IDP communities in question. They should also help ensure the voluntary and safe character of return and other durable solutions, as well as safety, dignity and adequate conditions for IDPs in their current places.
# ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACHPR</td>
<td>African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td>African Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>African Union Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNARR</td>
<td>La Commission nationale d’accueil et de réinsertion des réfugiés et des rapatriés / National Commission for the Reception and Reinsertion of Refugees and Repatriation (Chad)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONASUR</td>
<td>Conseil National de Secours d’Urgence et de Réhabilitation, Ministère de l’Action Sociale et de la Solidarité National / National Council for Emergency Relief and Rehabilitation, Ministry of Social Action and National Solidarity (Burkina Faso)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOWAS</td>
<td>Economic Community of West African States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPC</td>
<td>Global Protection Cluster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRC</td>
<td>United Nations Human Rights Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICGLR</td>
<td>International Conference on the Great Lakes Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRC</td>
<td>International Committee of the Red Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDMC</td>
<td>Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDP</td>
<td>Internally Displaced Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGAD</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Authority on Development (in Eastern Africa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHL</td>
<td>International Humanitarian Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHRL</td>
<td>International Human Rights Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>International Organization for Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIPS</td>
<td>Joint IDP Profiling Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDMEC</td>
<td>National Disaster Management Executive Committee (Rwanda)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-Governmental Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>Norwegian Refugee Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>National Red Cross or Red Crescent Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAG</td>
<td>Non-State Armed Group (note: the Kampala Convention uses the terms “Armed Groups” and “Non-state actors”, defining these in Article 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC</td>
<td>Regional Economic Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Regional Mechanism for Conflict Prevention, Management and Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRC</td>
<td>Relief and Rehabilitation Commission (South Sudan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTLP</td>
<td>Global Protection Cluster’s Task Team on Law and Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNMISS</td>
<td>United Nations Mission in the Republic of South Sudan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

A family in Yola, Nigeria, who had to flee fighting (2015).
BACKGROUND ON INTERNAL DISPLACEMENT AND THE KAMPALA CONVENTION

The displacement of millions of people within their own countries, whether due to armed conflict or other situations of violence or natural disasters, became a pressing humanitarian concern in the second half of the twentieth century. As the number of IDPs has continued to grow in this new century, it has generated severe humanitarian, social and economic costs around the globe. In addition to reaching alarming proportions, internal displacement has become more protracted in nature. This reflects the increasing duration of armed conflicts and the inability to find lasting solutions for the hundreds of thousands of IDPs.

Africa is one of the continents most affected by this trend. In 2015, it was home to an estimated 12 million IDPs, that is, nearly a third of the total number of people displaced worldwide as a result of armed conflict and other violence. Displaced people often have particular needs and vulnerabilities, which may exacerbate the difficulties they face living in a conflict or violent environment. They are often deprived of their livelihoods and their assets. Families, particularly children, are often separated, and lose the safety and support that comes with living in their communities. Women and girls are especially vulnerable to sexual violence and exploitation. Poor access to essential goods and services, such as health care or education, is commonplace in displaced communities. IDPs may face exclusion due to a lack of documentation, voice or influence – or all three. Fear, anguish and uncertainty can dominate their lives.

In response to these urgent needs, African States joined forces to create the African Union Convention for the Protection and Assistance of Internally Displaced Persons in Africa (the Kampala Convention, or the Convention) in 2009. This pioneering treaty, the world's first ever legally binding instrument on internal displacement, entered into force in 2012 after 15 African States joined it. Today, 25 African States are party to the Kampala Convention, while another 18 have signed but are not yet party to it. While the number of States Parties has continued to grow, the momentum from earlier years has been lost.

A number of States have initiated or adopted domestic laws and policies to incorporate their obligations under the Convention. However, more action is needed. More concrete and practical measures are urgently required to realize the Kampala Convention’s full potential and make a positive difference in the lives of IDPs on the continent. Above all, African States need to allocate greater resources – human, financial, technical and political – to preventing and responding to internal displacement.

---

1 “Other situations of violence” (hereafter “other violence”) denotes “situations in which violence is perpetrated collectively but which are below the threshold of armed conflict. Such situations are characterized in particular by the fact that the violence is the work of one or several groups made up of a large number of people.” International Review of the Red Cross (IRRC), Vol. 96, No. 893, February 2014, pp. 275–304: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/international-review-of-the-red-cross/article/the-international-committee-of-the-red-cross-iccrs-role-in-situations-of-violence-below-the-threshold-of-armed-conflict/64183411841129456604440788952598545785


5 The Kampala Convention details the obligations not only of States, but also of non-State armed groups and international organizations with respect to preventing displacement and protecting and assisting IDPs. It also recognizes the vital role of host communities in responding to internal displacement. Furthermore, it takes into account the fact that internal displacement in Africa results from multiple causes, such as natural disasters, armed conflicts and development projects. For a review of these and other innovative aspects of the Convention, see Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre, From Kampala to Istanbul: Advancing Global Accountability for IDPs through Law and Policy Making, IDMC, Geneva, 19 May 2016: http://www.internal-displacement.org/search?q=From+Kampala+to+Istanbul, consulted 29 September 2016.


The AU continues to play a central role in relation to the Kampala Convention. It has placed its commitment to the Convention at the centre of a broader humanitarian agenda. Acknowledging the urgent need to address internal displacement on the continent and the Convention’s potential to this effect, the AUC launched a strategy, in cooperation with its partners and UN agencies. This strategy aims at achieving continent-wide ratification and full implementation of the Convention. It focuses on four key elements: (1) capacity-building for Member State institutions dealing with the issue of internal displacement; (2) promoting ratification and domestication of the Kampala Convention; (3) strengthening and monitoring reporting mechanisms; and (4) awareness of, advocacy for and promotion of the Convention.

Promoting the Kampala Convention has also been a key priority for Chaloka Beyani, the outgoing UN special rapporteur on the human rights of internally displaced persons. Mr Beyani has argued strongly for the full operationalization of the Kampala Convention. By this, he means not only ratifying the Convention and passing legislation, but also implementing specific policies and practical measures that improve the situation for IDPs. His emphasis on operationalizing the Convention added further impetus to carrying out the stocktaking exercise.

**THE ICRC AND THE STOCKTAKING EXERCISE**

The decision to carry out this stocktaking exercise on the Kampala Convention is part of the ICRC’s commitment to tackling internal displacement worldwide. We believe the exercise is an important contribution to the ICRC’s response to the growing humanitarian needs of IDPs. The ICRC’s commitment to strengthen protection of people through law, operations and policy also finds its expression in this stocktaking exercise.

The stocktaking exercise is also part of the ICRC’s continued support to the Kampala Convention. The ICRC was involved from the outset in the drafting of the Kampala Convention, providing legal advice on issues relating to IHL and supporting the negotiation process that lead to its adoption in 2009. We later provided comments on the AU’s draft Model Law for the Kampala Convention’s implementation, especially on provisions drawn from IHL.

Since 2009, the ICRC has been promoting the ratification of, or accession to, the Kampala Convention, and supporting its implementation. We do so by providing legal and technical advice on adopting and strengthening domestic legal frameworks to implement the Convention, and by recommending practical measures in dialogue with States across Africa.

---

8 Article 8(3) of the Kampala Convention attributes an important role to the AU in supporting States Parties to fulfil their obligations related to the protection and assistance of IDPs under the Convention. In turn, Article 5(3) of the Convention recognizes that States Parties have the obligation to respect the AU’s mandate.


12 Ibid., p. 15.

13 The ICRC, along with the UNHCR, was specifically named in the preamble of the Kampala Convention, p. 3.


Specifically, the stocktaking exercise grew from the recognition of the importance of fostering States’ exchanges of experiences in implementing the Kampala Convention.\footnote{Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre et al., Workshop Report – Kampala Convention: From Ratification to Domesticalisation and Operationalisation, IDMC, Geneva, April 2016: http://www.internal-displacement.org/publications/2016/workshop-report, consulted 29 September 2016.} To date, many AU Member States have undertaken significant action to translate the Convention into reality, but they have tended to do so in isolation. There is a need to compile the diverse experiences of States in order to bolster efforts to go beyond implementation in theory and ensure operationalisation in practice. The stocktaking exercise was designed to help in this regard. By collecting lessons learned and identifying examples of good practice, it offers an opportunity to share how the Kampala Convention can work best. This report aims to encourage further consideration and discussion – within and among States – on actions that can more effectively implement their obligations on internal displacement. Finally, the fruits of this exercise may help inspire States that have not yet ratified or acceded to the Convention to do so.

The ICRC is not new to this type of exercise. For many years, we have produced tools that provide technical support and guidance to States on implementing IHL\footnote{See for example ICRC, The Domestic Implementation of International Humanitarian Law: A Manual, ICRC, Geneva, 1 December 2015: https://www.icrc.org/eng/resources/documents/publication/pvd40.htm, consulted 29 September 2016.} and other legal frameworks domestically in relation to humanitarian issues, such as the protection of the provision of health care during armed conflict or other emergencies\footnote{Health Care in Danger project: http://healthcareindanger.org/hcid-project, consulted 2 October 2016. See also ICRC, “The implementation of rules protecting the provision of health care in armed conflicts and other emergencies: A guidance tool”, 28 February 2015: https://www.icrc.org/en/document/implementation-rules-protecting-provision-health-care-armed-conflicts-and-other-emergencies, consulted 21 October 2016.} and the plight of missing people and their families.\footnote{ICRC, ICRC Report: The Missing and their Families, Geneva, 2003: https://www.icrc.org/eng/assets/files/other/icrc_the_missing_012003_en_10.pdf, consulted 24 October 2016. See also ICRC, Guiding Principles/Model Law on the Missing: https://www.icrc.org/eng/document/guiding-principles-model-law-missing-model-law, consulted 24 October 2016.} The ICRC capitalized on this expertise to carry out the stocktaking exercise. In addition, we were able to benefit from our presence in Africa, where our delegations in 29 countries carry out protection and assistance activities for IDPs, host communities and all those suffering the consequences of armed conflict or other violence. This has allowed the ICRC to add an operational perspective to considering how best to translate the Kampala Convention into practice. In doing so, the stocktaking exercise is intended to complement the valuable work of other actors, such as the UNHCR and the IDMC, that have provided particular support for the domestic implementation of the Kampala Convention.

### METHODOLOGY OF THE STOCKTAKING EXERCISE

Throughout the first half of 2016, ICRC delegations across Africa provided updates and analysis on developments relating to IDPs, including States’ latest actions to join, nationally implement and operationalize the Kampala Convention. This included States that are party to the Kampala Convention, whether or not they have adopted implementing laws and policies at the domestic level. It also includes some States that are not yet party to the Convention, but have adopted normative frameworks or policies on the protection of IDPs based on parallel provisions of the 1998 Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement (the Guiding Principles)\footnote{United Nations, Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement, 11 February 1998: https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G98/104/93/PDF/G9810493.pdf, consulted 28 October 2016.} or of two of the Great Lakes Region protocols.\footnote{International Conference on the Great Lakes Region, Nairobi, 2006: http://www.icglr.org/images/Pact%20Protocol%20Amended%2020122.pdf, consulted 24 October 2016. The Fact comprises 10 separate Protocols, including the Protocol on the Protection and Assistance to Internally Displaced Persons: http://www.refworld.org/pdfid/52386fe44.pdf, consulted 10 October 2016, and the Protocol on the Property Rights of Returning Persons: https://www.be.ac.uk/collections/law/projects/greatlakes/4.%20Humanitarian%20and%20Social%20Issues/4c.%20Protocols/Final%20Protocol%20Property%20Rights%20%20En%2020.pdf, consulted 8 October 2016. For comparison of the different provisions, see the Annex to this report.} Also included were some non-States Parties that have no domestic framework in place, but have taken some action to address internal displacement. Overall, the practice of 25 African countries was taken into account.

The decision to include the experience of States not party to the Kampala Convention allowed for the compilation of a broader set of African practice on key aspects of States’ implementation of their obligations related to internal displacement. It also reflects an underlying recognition among States that the obligations of the Convention are built upon existing rules of IHL and IHRL, in addition to the compilation of a broader set of African practice on key aspects of States’ implementation of their obligations related to internal displacement.
the Guiding Principles and the 2006 Great Lakes Pact.\textsuperscript{22} Although some States have not ratified the Convention, they may have adopted measures that result in concrete benefits for IDPs. Such practices can be a source of inspiration to States that have yet to ratify the Convention, as well as those States Parties that are experiencing challenges in implementing it. Finally, by identifying the experiences of States not party to the Convention, the exercise highlights the consideration that these States have given to putting into practice activities aimed at protecting and assisting IDPs. This helps illustrate to these States that, while they have yet to ratify the Convention, they are \textit{de facto} already implementing components of it.

An independent consultant commissioned by the ICRC compiled and analysed the input received from delegations. The exercise consisted of the following:

1. An assessment of how the Kampala Convention is being implemented in domestic law and policy. This part sought to identify domestic normative and policy frameworks, whether specifically related to the Kampala Convention or not, that could have a concrete impact on the protection of and assistance to IDPs.


In the analysis of State practice, the following questions were kept in mind:

- What impact does the Kampala Convention have on the ground?
- What difference can it make in the lives of IDPs?
- What more needs to be done – by States, the AUC, RECs and RMs, the ICRC and other organizations and humanitarian actors – for the full implementation of the Kampala Convention to become a reality?

In consultation with the ICRC's own experts and selected external experts, and building on further research, the analysis of the information compiled through the exercise led to the drafting of Findings (in the form of lessons learned, some examples of good practices and key challenges) and Recommendations.

The Findings reflect the current level of States' efforts to prevent and address internal displacement. As part of the Findings, the examples of good practices reflect the experiences of States that have successfully adopted normative, policy or concrete measures to implement the Convention's obligations. The mention of specific States in relation to good practices is made so that other States can study those experiences and consult the relevant laws, policies and practices as needed. It is not intended to exclude other States that may have taken equally important actions. In addition, referring to legislation or policies as good practices does not necessarily mean that they are being fully implemented in practice; in some cases implementation needs more time.

The Recommendations are based upon the Findings but look ahead, suggesting actions to address challenges in implementing the Convention and offering insight as to how current implementation of the Convention can be strengthened. These Recommendations are all, it should be emphasized, without prejudice to the duty of States to carry out their respective obligations under international and domestic law.

As part of the stocktaking process, the ICRC convened a consultation meeting at the AU headquarters in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, on 14 September 2016. Prior to this, the preliminary findings and draft recommendations from the exercise had been shared with the AUC and its Member States. Representatives of more than 25 Member States, the AUC’s Department of Political Affairs and several RECs/RMs attended the meeting. Working under the Chatham House Rule, they provided feedback on the stocktaking exercise and its initial conclusions, and exchanged views on the way forward. The outcomes of this meeting contributed to the finalization of the Findings and Recommendations that form the heart of this report.

At the same time as the stocktaking exercise was being carried out, the ICRC’s delegation in Abuja was working on an in-depth country report, looking at the operationalization of the Kampala Convention in the states of Borno, Adamawa and Yobe in Nigeria. The resulting report is based on assessments carried out over the last year, including a survey of IDPs in the three states and interviews with key government and non-government stakeholders involved in providing assistance and protection to IDPs. It will be published in the coming months.

**STRUCTURE AND SCOPE OF THIS REPORT**

The main substance of the report consists of more than 85 Findings and 25 Recommendations. These are organized in five parts. Each part is based on a set of key obligations under the Kampala Convention grouped by topic, as follows:

1. Prevention
2. Planning, management and monitoring of protection and assistance activities
3. Providing adequate humanitarian assistance to IDPs
4. Protection of IDPs
5. Durable solutions for IDPs

Each of the 25 Recommendations is followed by a supporting rationale. The Recommendations are mainly intended for States, but in each of the five parts, one or more Recommendations also concern other actors. These may include the AUC, RECs, RMs, the UN, the ICRC and civil society organizations.

Given the wide range of topics covered in the Kampala Convention, we found it necessary to limit the scope of the exercise. This report, therefore, does not purport to be exhaustive. It is focused on those obligations most familiar to the ICRC, either because they are drawn directly from IHL or because they relate to humanitarian concerns that we encounter in our operations across Africa (e.g. issues of IDP registration and documentation).

In addition, the report’s focus on States meant it was not possible to examine the obligations of NSAGs, defined as “armed groups” and “non-State actors” in the Kampala Convention. These obligations were clearly considered important by Member States when negotiating and adopting the Convention, and remain so today.

Finally, not all of the observations made and conclusions drawn by the ICRC through the stocktaking exercise are included in the report. Some of these will be shared directly with the States concerned in the ICRC’s traditional framework of bilateral confidential dialogue that we maintain with public authorities around the globe.

---

23 Participants are free to use the information received at the event, but neither the identity nor the affiliation of the speakers and panellists, nor that of any other participant, may be revealed: http://www.chathamhouse.org/about/chatham-house-rule, consulted 21 October 2016.
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FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
1. PREVENTION

An ICRC delegate talks to displaced people in the camp in Gereida, Sudan (2007).
SUMMARY OF KEY OBLIGATIONS

(a) Incorporate obligations under the Kampala Convention in domestic law (Article 3.2(a));
(b) Ensure individual criminal responsibility for arbitrary displacement (Article 3.1(g)).

LESSONS LEARNED

The lessons learned in the areas of ratification or accession and incorporation in domestic law are perhaps predictable for any public officials or other experts who have worked on treaty domestication, but are nonetheless important.

First and foremost, experience across Africa confirms that it is crucial for States to take action on ratification or accession and domestic implementation of the Kampala Convention before a crisis occurs that would result in internal displacement. Regardless of the causes of internal displacement in a given situation, it is rare that it develops slowly enough to allow policy makers and lawmakers to respond in real time by putting appropriate laws and policies in place.

With this in mind, States may find it useful to initiate their own review on how they could revive or expedite the procedures required in their particular jurisdiction to join the Convention and implement it at the national level. In particular, they may wish to follow the example of the numerous States that have taken advantage of the technical support available to expedite their ratification or accession and national implementation processes. In this regard, one very useful starting point is the AUC, which developed a draft Model Law and has already advised a number of States on its application. International organizations such as the IDMC, NRC and UNHCR organise training for public officials, without charge, on the national implementation of the Kampala Convention, and support States in adopting laws and policies on internal displacement.25 In June 2015, the GPC, led by the UNHCR, established a TTLP as a technical entity to coordinate global efforts on law and policy-making on internal displacement. As part of its activities, the TTLP organizes learning and technical support opportunities to strengthen States‘ capacity to develop and implement national IDP legislation and policies.26 For its part, the ICRC’s Advisory Service on IHL has produced a series of tools and publications providing technical support and guidance on adopting national legislation, as well as analysis of State practice to address humanitarian issues.27 The ICRC also provides, on a regular basis, confidential advice to the authorities on developing domestic normative and policy frameworks that reflect Kampala Convention obligations.


26 The ICRC takes part in meetings of the TTLP as active observer and has supported various initiatives by the TTLP since its creation, including with regard to the promotion and implementation of the Kampala Convention. For example, the ICRC was a facilitator in the TTLP-sponsored regional workshop on the national implementation of the Kampala Convention, organized by the AU in partnership with the NRC and the UNHCR in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, at the end of 2015. The workshop brought together six AU Member States with the purpose of supporting the domestication and implementation of the Kampala Convention, while promoting national responsibility and the sharing of experiences between them. See Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre, Workshop Report – Kampala Convention: From Ratification to Domestication and Operationalization, op. cit.

27 For more information on these tools, see: https://www.icrc.org/en/war-and-law/ihl-domestic-law/documentation#penal, consulted 29 September 2016.
It is evident that States will achieve the best results where there is a clear and sustained political will to discharge their responsibilities. This is the case both in incorporating the Kampala Convention into domestic law, and in preventing and responding to internal displacement. Officials with responsibilities for IDP-related matters would be well advised to consider anew how they might build ownership and momentum in their own country towards becoming party to the Convention and especially to its implementation.

One important lesson learned from the ICRC’s field consultations and many years of work on behalf of IDPs in Africa, is that the domestic implementation of the Kampala Convention requires an inclusive process. This means engaging all key domestic actors in consultations, information sharing and decision-making. These include ministries and governmental agencies concerned, at central and field levels, municipal authorities and civil society organizations, as well as IDPs and host communities. Without an inclusive process, the necessary ownership by these actors will likely be lacking. This could potentially result in delays at one or more points in the domestic implementation process.

Public education and raising awareness of the Kampala Convention and the plight of IDPs are important factors in translating political commitments into concrete measures. These activities can be usefully carried out with the public authorities and civil society, as well as with IDPs and host communities. They can serve to encourage the public’s interest and engagement, and their dialogue with public authorities, on the issue of internal displacement. This in turn can help ensure that addressing internal displacement remains a priority that enjoys sustained political will. Special attention should be given to ensuring that draft or adopted laws and policies are made available in all official languages and, if different, in the languages of the most affected stakeholders, whether IDP or host communities.

It is crucial that laws and policies on internal displacement contain provisions for adequate means (institutional/mandate, human resources, budget) for their implementation and operationalization. This has been an important and sometimes difficult “lesson learned” in numerous places, where implementation processes have been initiated with serious effort, but have stalled because of a lack of adequate resources to sustain the work. Often this failure to ensure sufficient resources has been to the detriment of the specific protection and assistance needs of IDPs.

Finally, strong and clear criminal prohibitions relating to displacement can have an important deterrent effect and can contribute to broader efforts to combat impunity for violations of IHL. For decades, the ICRC has worked closely with States in developing tools and resources to support domestication of IHL obligations, including on criminal responsibility and on mechanisms for coordination among public authorities to strengthen prevention of and accountability for serious violations of IHL.

---


SOME EXAMPLES OF GOOD PRACTICES

Across Africa, States have taken a range of actions to realise the national implementation of the Kampala Convention and to more broadly develop a national approach to IDP-related challenges. While there is, of course, no single “template” or one single “best” approach, the number and diversity of efforts undertaken provide practical examples for other States, as they move to join and/or implement the Convention in their own particular context.

Uganda was a pioneer, adopting The National Policy for Internally Displaced Persons in 2004, long before the Kampala Convention was created. Uganda’s policy was designed to implement the Guiding Principles, and is broad in scope. It includes the establishment of a national coordination body, detailed arrangements for intergovernmental coordination at the national and the local levels, specific provisions for aspects of protection and assistance, and arrangements for public education. The Policy’s implementation was the subject of a multi-stakeholder review in 2006. The report on this review provides a helpful snapshot, detailing the challenges of implementation, and remains valuable a decade later.

In Somalia and Mali, the authorities have worked closely with international partners to develop national laws and policies. This widened the scope of consultation amongst these key groups and is expected to bear fruit as those States move forward with finalizing and bringing into force their policies and programmes.

In Kenya, a comprehensive framework to address displacement issues has been developed and adopted. Kenya is a party to the Great Lakes Pact, but not yet a party to the Kampala Convention. It is worth noting that Kenya’s framework addresses a number of Convention obligations and can serve as an example for other States, party and non-party alike.

In Burundi, a comprehensive peace agreement (the Arusha Peace and Reconciliation Agreement for Burundi, 2000) includes multiple provisions relating to internal displacement (e.g. on access to people in need and the security of international personnel and provision of humanitarian aid) that are consistent...
with the Kampala Convention. Burundi is not yet a party to the Kampala Convention, but here again these measures are, in many cases, consistent with Convention obligations.

In the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the Child Protection Code (2009) includes a provision on the rights of displaced children, which specifies their right to protection and humanitarian assistance. This is an interesting example of a legal basis for protecting and assisting IDP children, even in the absence of specific legislation implementing the Kampala Convention.

Also in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the authorities have initiated a working group to convene representatives of humanitarian and UN agencies and have been consulting national stakeholders on the terms of reference for this body (Groupe de travail technique sur le déplacement). Such a structure and process is likely to boost consultation and engagement by key stakeholders, who can be expected to contribute more to national action on internal displacement in the future.

In many States, existing domestic laws provide for the criminalization of acts of arbitrary or forced displacement. In Rwanda, to give but one example, the Penal Code (2012) includes crimes and penalties relating to arbitrary displacement. Of note, the criminal law provisions in Rwanda are complemented by separate measures that address other aspects related to the prevention of displacement. Several African States have criminalized forced displacement in their implementing legislations of the Geneva Conventions or the Statute of the International Criminal Court (e.g. Botswana, Burkina Faso, Kenya, Mauritius, Nigeria and Senegal). It is worth mentioning that Burkina Faso, Mauritius and Senegal have criminalized forced displacement as a crime against humanity and a war crime for both international and non-international armed conflicts.

In West Africa, States have taken action through the ECOWAS to promote awareness of and adhesion to the Convention, including through a tour of select capitals in 2016. In East Africa, States joined forces under the auspices of the IGAD in October 2016 at a seminar in Nairobi, jointly organized with the ICRC. The seminar reviewed national measures undertaken by IGAD Member States and considered how to promote the Convention.

These represent only a sample of the kinds of actions undertaken by States. Considered together, they demonstrate that there are many examples of States having overcome a range of challenges regarding national implementation. Considered on their own, they demonstrate that there are many recent, practical precedents for States that are now – or soon will be – taking specific steps to make the Kampala Convention an integral part of their domestic law.

---

38 Arusha Peace and Reconciliation Agreement for Burundi, Arusha, August 2000, for example, Protocol IV, Chapter 1, Articles 1–3: https://peaceaccords.nd.edu/accord/arusha-peace-and-reconciliation-agreement-burundi, consulted 24 October 2016.


41 The 2012 Penal Code provides in Article 123(7) that forced displacement of the civilian population or their transfer to or systematic detention in concentration or forced labour camps is a war crime. Penalties are provided for under Article 125. See Republic of Rwanda, Organic Law No. 01/2012/OL of 02/05/2012 Instituting the Penal Code: https://www.unodc.org/cld/document/rua/2012/penal_code_of_rwanda.html?lng=en, consulted 25 October 2016.

42 For example, the 2009 National Disaster Management Policy (revised in 2012) refers to “mass movement of population”, which includes internal displacement, as a “main hazard” in Rwanda: https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0ahUKEwEsXgjK_PA4VRGqAvIHSBwAhwIChAJwAA#url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.icr.org%2Fdoc%2FIDRL%2FrwandaDisaster_Management_Policy_01.pdf&usg=AFQjCNh1P94cgXS4XeulXwaIDkrvE38H4Ac&cad=rja, consulted 10 October 2016. See also Republic of Rwanda, Law No. 41/2015 of 29/08/2015 relating to Disaster Management, available at: http://www.rema.gov.rw/fileadmin/templates/Documents/rema_doc/Laws%updated/Law_establishing_the_Gishwati_-_Mukura_National_Park.pdf, consulted 10 October 2016. This new law refers to the Kampala Convention in its preamble. While it does not refer to displacement directly, internal displacement could qualify as a disaster, according to the definition of disaster in Article 2(4) of the law: “serious calamity occurring on a small or large area of the country involving loss of life, physical or psychological injury or important material, economic, or environmental damages, which exceeds the ability of the affected population to overcome with its own resources”.


44 The preliminary findings of the ICRC stocktaking exercise were also shared with participants during the IGAD-ICRC seminar, including a number of examples of good practices from East African States. See “Kenya: IGAD and ICRC hold seminar on the Kampala Convention”, 10 October 2016: https://www.icrc.org/en/document/igad-and-icrc-hold-seminar-kampala-convention, consulted 24 October 2016.
KEY CHALLENGES

The momentum the Kampala Convention enjoyed between its adoption in 2009 and its entry into force in 2012 has stalled in recent years.

Few States have ratified or acceded to the Convention in the period from 2014 to the present. As of September 2016, there were 18 AU Member States that had signed up to the Kampala Convention, but had not taken the required action to ratify or accede to it, even after several years.45

Of equal concern is that many States are experiencing delays in the process of enacting the necessary legislation to give domestic effect to the Convention. In some cases, these delays exceed several years. Causes for this vary from State to State. They may include: a lack of awareness by some authorities on the issue of internal displacement, their obligations and/or on the importance of the Kampala Convention; lack of capacity; lack of budget allocations; internal displacement not being seen as a priority issue by the authorities; and the involvement of the State in an armed conflict. Similarly, a number of States have invested considerable time and effort into elaborating a national IDP policy or strategy, but have not managed to complete this process.

Numerous States in the monist legal tradition rely on their constitution, which automatically transposes international treaties into domestic law.46 However, this generally does not complete domestic implementation. For example, the provisions of the Kampala Convention concerning criminal responsibility will likely be unenforceable or contrary to the principle of legality, unless there are designated penalties in law.

In practice, there are few or no prosecutions relating to crimes under the Kampala Convention taking place in domestic courts today. The lack of specific criminal provisions in domestic legal orders is certainly one of the main factors that underlie the lack of operationalization of this part of the Convention.

When an armed conflict is ongoing, measures can and, in many cases, must be taken by States and other parties to the conflict to prevent displacement from occurring in the first place. This is especially true in ensuring respect for IHL and increasing efforts to protect civilians and limit the harm to which they can be exposed. In practice, violations of IHL continue to be a major cause of internal displacement in armed conflicts in Africa. The challenge here is to strengthen States’ commitment (and capacity) to respect and ensure respect for IHL. Another challenge, particularly in protracted armed conflicts, is to avoid displacement that can be seen by parties as a natural incidence of military operations, and whose rationale in this regard is not being questioned.

State authorities may find it difficult to prevent displacement in areas where NSAGs are present. There is no simple solution to this obstacle, which can have serious and protracted humanitarian consequences. That said, it must be recalled that the Kampala Convention creates obligations for NSAGs (referred to as “Armed Groups” and “Non-state actors” in the Convention)47 and includes numerous references to them.48 More generally, IHL establishes obligations on NSAGs that are party to an armed conflict.49

45 African Union, List of Countries which Have Signed, Ratified/Acceded to the African Union Convention for the Protection and Assistance of Internally Displaced Persons in Africa (Kampala Convention), op.cit.
47 Kampala Convention, Article 1(e) and 1(n) respectively.
48 Ibid. See for example, Articles 2(e), 3(1)(h) and (i), and 7(4) and (5).
49 As noted in the Introduction above, this report is focused on the obligations and practice of States, thus it does not examine the obligations of NSAGs, which are recognized as an essential component of the Kampala Convention.
### Kampala Convention

**Status of signature and ratification**

#### Signature and ratification per year (2009–2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Internal Displacement
Law and Policy Developments

** Number of Countries

Law on IDPs | Policy on IDPs | Developing law or policy on IDPs | National instruments on IDPs*
---|---|---|---
2 | 1 | 2 | 1

** Some States can fall under more than one category.

* These include laws or policies containing relevant provisions but not specifically focused on internal displacement, as well as other instruments or statements confirming the validity of the Guiding Principles, including peace treaties referring to them.

Source: http://www.internal-displacement.org/law-and-policy
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RECOMMENDATIONS

For States

RECOMMENDATION 1: States that have not yet done so should expedite their ratification of, or accession to, the Kampala Convention.

- The Kampala Convention offers a comprehensive and detailed framework of reference that can guide States in discharging their sovereign obligations in preventing and responding to internal displacement. Thus, ratification of the Convention provides a State with a clear legal basis for the adoption of domestic normative and policy measures related to the protection and assistance of IDPs.

- There are a number of States that have not ratified the Kampala Convention but have been putting in place concrete measures that correspond to obligations under the Convention. For such States, ratification is a logical step that would bring coherence to domestic law, policy and practice, and is a further exercise of their sovereignty.

- Numerous obligations contained in the Kampala Convention are already included in the existing obligations of States under IHL and IHRL. Ratification of the Kampala Convention complements and builds upon those existing legal obligations, providing more clarity on how they should be interpreted and implemented. This can help States reinforce the protection of and assistance to IDPs.

- Ratification of the Kampala Convention demonstrates a State’s commitment to preventing and addressing internal displacement. This can help attract donor support and technical advice/assistance for the full range of Kampala Convention elements. This can include technical advice/assistance on adopting domestic legislation and coordination structures and financial support to assist IDPs and host communities in the emergency phase and in the longer term.

- Additional ratifications reinforce the Kampala Convention’s legitimacy and relevance by highlighting the AU’s leading role in responding to the challenge of internal displacement. They also lead to more experiences and good practices that can be shared with other States in Africa and beyond.

RECOMMENDATION 2: States party to the Kampala Convention should initiate and complete actions to incorporate treaty obligations in domestic law and policy processes, including criminal prohibitions, requesting advice and technical support if/as needed.

- Ratification is an important step. However, it needs to be followed by the adoption of normative, policy and concrete measures at the national level in order to ensure that the protections afforded by the Kampala Convention become a reality for IDPs.

- Domestic implementation through measures appropriate for a State Party’s legal system and governance structures will help ensure that the State is able to respond effectively to the needs of IDPs.

- To be effective, laws and policies developed to implement the Kampala Convention need to include the provisions for adequate means (institutional/mandate, human resources, budget) for their practical implementation.

- States can request advice and technical assistance on domestic implementation from the AUC (which has developed a draft Model Law), other States party to the Kampala Convention and from various international and humanitarian organizations (such as the ICRC and the UNHCR). They can also benefit from the growing set of good practices and lessons learned on the implementation of the Kampala Convention. In so doing, domestic implementation helps expand the expertise of public authorities on matters related to internal displacement. In addition, by taking inspiration from the experience of other States and adapting solutions to their specific contexts, States can in turn contribute to establishing good practices.
Criminalizing arbitrary displacement that amounts to a war crime or a crime against humanity is a legal obligation for all States party to the Convention. Strengthening the domestic legal framework on this aspect will allow States Parties to ensure individual criminal responsibility for perpetrators in domestic courts.

Domestic implementation measures demonstrate a State’s readiness to effectively discharge its primary responsibility for IDPs on its territory. This can help attract donor support and technical advice and assistance. States can maintain this support by demonstrating the effectiveness of their domestic strategies and mechanisms.

**RECOMMENDATION 3:** States should take steps to promote knowledge of the Kampala Convention for all the actors concerned, including IDPs themselves, host communities, civil society and public authorities, at central, regional and local levels. (See also Recommendation 6 on the role of other actors in awareness-raising and capacity-building and Recommendation 12 on the importance of fostering national ownership through capacity-building activities.)

This is an essential element to ensure an inclusive national process from ratification through domestication and practical implementation of the Convention. Public awareness contributes to ownership and sustainability of such a process by strengthening the commitment of all the actors involved to fully implementing the Convention.

International and humanitarian partners will often be ready and willing to cooperate with States on awareness-raising activities, as well as to carry out more specialized capacity-building activities for public authorities and other actors, in particular public officials.

In the same vein, local civil society actors are often well placed to promote knowledge of the Convention. In many cases, their capacities can be used to complement efforts by public authorities and their partners. Notably, the efforts of civil society actors can help public authorities to better understand and build upon public interest on IDP-related matters and the Kampala Convention in particular. They can also help ensure sustained interest in domesticating the Convention.

Of great importance are activities to raise awareness of the Kampala Convention among IDP communities themselves. These activities can empower IDPs by making them more aware of their rights and responsibilities.

**RECOMMENDATION 4:** States should ensure that all relevant public authorities – including armed and security forces – are fully informed of their obligations and instructed to respect and ensure respect for IHL.

Violations of IHL are a major cause of displacement during armed conflict.

Improving respect for IHL in armed conflicts will, in many cases, prevent displacement from occurring in the first place, by strengthening the protection of civilians and limiting the effects of hostilities on civilian lives and property.

Of particular importance is respect for the fundamental principles of distinction, proportionality and precaution, as well as the prohibition on the forced displacement of the civilian population, in whole or in part, for reasons related to the armed conflict, unless the security of the civilians involved or imperative military reasons so demand.50

---

When displacement does occur, respect for IHL can help ensure that it is, as much as possible, kept to a minimum and is temporary. Furthermore, IHL provides important protections to civilians during displacement, so its full implementation contributes to ensuring the safety and well-being of IDPs.  

Failure to respect IHL can result in civilian and military superiors being found guilty of war crimes, whether directly or under the doctrine of command or superior responsibility.

National criminal law prohibitions relating to displacement can be included in military manuals, training curricula and briefing modules.

For other actors

**RECOMMENDATION 5:** International and humanitarian organizations should continue to offer technical advice and support to AU Member States, not only for ratification of the Kampala Convention, but also for the full range of domestic implementation measures required under national legal and policy frameworks.

Many States have already benefited from this advice and support and/or continue to express an interest in receiving it.

When offering their advice and support, organizations can usefully share good practices initiated by other States and/or facilitate peer-to-peer exchanges and the sharing of experiences between States directly. This has proven to be very effective in encouraging States to take the necessary measures to implement the Kampala Convention. It can also help streamline domestic implementation processes.

When building the authorities’ capacities, international actors can reinforce best practices in consultation with IDPs.

**RECOMMENDATION 6:** The AUC, RECs, RMs and other organizations with expertise in this domain should expand awareness-raising and capacity-building activities on the Kampala Convention, in coordination with States and local civil society actors. The ACHPR and its special rapporteur on refugees, asylum seekers and internally displaced persons can also play a part in this regard, in accordance with their mandates and the role attributed to them by the Convention. (See also Recommendation 3 on the role of States in promoting knowledge of the Kampala Convention and Recommendation 12 on the importance of fostering national ownership through capacity-building activities.)

Increased public knowledge of the Kampala Convention can help expedite States’ processes of ratification, domestication and operationalization of the Convention.

RECs and RMs are often well placed to foster greater awareness of internal displacement issues, including of the potential of the Kampala Convention to prevent and address internal displacement.

The AUC, RECs, RMs and other organizations concerned should consider approaching States to champion the Kampala Convention and share their experiences with other States.

Local civil society actors are typically cost-effective partners with solid knowledge of the context. As such, they can contribute positively to the implementation of public awareness-raising and capacity-building activities by international actors.

---


The ACHPR and its special rapporteur on refugees, asylum seekers and internally displaced persons are both expressly mentioned in the Kampala Convention. They are assigned specific roles in supporting and monitoring States Parties’ implementation of the Convention.53 Thus, the ACHPR and the special rapporteur are both well placed to usefully contribute to the promotion and national implementation of the Kampala Convention, in cooperation with the AUC and others.

Awareness-raising and capacity-building activities should be carried out with internally displaced communities as part of a meaningful and regular dialogue with those communities.

**RECOMMENDATION 7:** The AUC and AU Member States should ensure that the first Conference of States Parties takes place as soon as possible and adopts a comprehensive plan of action/road map on the operationalization of the Kampala Convention.

Article 14(1)–(3) of the Kampala Convention provides for the establishment of a Conference of States Parties as the Convention’s main monitoring body to convene regularly under the auspices of the AU. The first meeting of the Conference of States Parties will be a historic demonstration of the political will within Africa to address the issue of internal displacement proactively and collectively. It will confirm the AU’s strong leadership in this regard.

The Conference will have numerous practical benefits. It will offer States Parties the opportunity to exchange experiences of ratification and implementation of the Kampala Convention. It will also allow States Parties and invited international and humanitarian organizations to consider together how to best address the challenges of prevention and response to internal displacement in Africa.

Furthermore, the Conference can be an important source of information for States considering ratification, and particularly for those that have already signed the Convention but have not yet joined it.

The first meeting of the Conference of States Parties could help establish shared reporting and monitoring mechanisms and supervisory functions for the Convention, as foreseen in Article 14. A permanent Kampala Convention Secretariat could be established to these ends.

The first meeting of the Conference could conclude with the adoption of a comprehensive road map (or plan of action) for the full implementation of the Kampala Convention, with benchmarks and timeframes.54 This could include, for example, detailed plans on the various vulnerable sub-groups within the internally displaced population that require protection and for the collection and analysis of data by sex, age and other relevant factors. This road map could then be regularly reviewed at, and between, subsequent meetings of the Conference of States Parties in the future.

AU Member States should ensure that the work of the Conference of States Parties and the road map fall within the AU’s Humanitarian Agenda 2063 and the Common African Position on Humanitarian Effectiveness and its Ten-Year Action Plan. These should take into account the various African Human Rights Mechanisms and the roles they play in ensuring conditions necessary for the protection of IDPs as a specifically vulnerable group.

---

53 Articles 8(3)(e) and (f) of the Kampala Convention provide that, in order to support States Parties’ efforts to protect and assist IDPs under the Convention, the AU shall inter alia share information on situations of internal displacement with the ACHPR, and cooperate with the special rapporteur on refugees, asylum-seekers and internally displaced persons in addressing IDP-related issues. Article 14(4) of the Convention refers to the reporting mechanism under Article 62 of the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights and the voluntary procedure of the African peer-review mechanism. It establishes that, in complying with such mechanisms, States party to the Kampala Convention shall submit information on the legislative and other measures taken to give effect to the Convention.

2. PLANNING, MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING OF PROTECTION AND ASSISTANCE ACTIVITIES
SUMMARY OF KEY OBLIGATIONS

(a) Designate an authority or body, where needed, responsible for coordinating activities aimed at protecting and assisting IDPs and assign responsibilities to appropriate organs for protection and assistance, and for cooperating with relevant international organizations or agencies, and civil society organizations, where no such authority or body exists (Art. 3.2(b));

(b) Provide necessary funds for providing assistance and protection to IDPs (Art. 3.2(d));

(c) Assess the needs of IDPs, including through consultation with them, and facilitate participation of IDPs in decisions regarding their assistance and protection (Art. 5.5; Art. 9.2(k));

(d) Monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of humanitarian assistance provided to IDPs (Art. 9.2(m)).

LESSONS LEARNED

It is essential to ensure that the designated coordinating authority or body has the necessary mandate and authority to mobilize all ministries and agencies concerned. It must be equipped with adequate resources (human and financial) to function effectively.

The coordination structures and processes that are put in place to address situations of internal displacement must be clearly defined to ensure effective information-sharing and decision-making at the national level between relevant ministries and agencies. They must take into account the shared responsibilities of different levels of government, especially between the central/national level and subnational/local levels. Experience indicates that regional and municipal authorities are often at the forefront of the protection and assistance response on behalf of IDPs, but may not receive adequate resources and support on a timely basis. Finally, the roles of actors outside government (whether IDP communities or civil society organizations) should also be recognized and incorporated in coordination processes.

At the same time, without well-designed and well-managed normative and policy frameworks and national coordination bodies/processes, States facing situations of internal displacement may have difficulty in maintaining donor interest and support for programmes. Contrariwise, States that demonstrate efforts to ensure good governance in relation to IDP matters can expect to see positive interest from donors.

It is also clear that international actors (e.g. UN agencies, ICRC, NGOs) can play important roles in supporting the development of national strategies and policies to respond to situations of internal displacement. However, if they lead rather than accompany national authorities, there is a risk that the authorities will not have sufficient ownership to carry forward the strategies and policies into the implementation phases. A genuine partnership between national authorities and international actors, consistent with the State's primary responsibility towards IDPs under its jurisdiction, is likely to produce more sustainable results. The good will of international actors is no substitute for the political will and commitment of authorities to prevent and address internal displacement.

There are strong indications that responses are most effective when there is availability of solid data on the needs, vulnerabilities and capacities of IDPs, including disaggregated data by sex, age and other relevant factors, which can constitute an agreed-upon baseline for all actors involved in the response.
SOME EXAMPLES OF GOOD PRACTICES

The National Strategy for IDPs in Mali specifically addresses the potential roles of the international community, including humanitarian and development actors. Art. 5(2) of the Strategy underlines the call of the UN secretary-general to humanitarian and development actors to support the government of Mali in the application and implementation of the Kampala Convention.\(^{55}\)

Also in Mali, the Ministry of Solidarity and Humanitarian Action, which is responsible for IDP-related matters, has representatives not only in the capital, but also at regional and local levels. This helps ensure good coordination and cooperation between the national and local levels, and reflects the important roles local administrations can play in facilitating assistance to IDPs.

In South Sudan, new legislation provides for the mandate of the RRC. The role of the RRC is one of coordination of humanitarian agencies and humanitarian work, and its mandate extends to coordinating relief, rehabilitation, resettlement and reintegration of IDPs and returnees.\(^{56}\) RRC local structures have been formed and deployed in the country. Going forward, it will be useful to regard the developing policies of the RRC for an assessment of the impact of this Commission’s role in implementing the principles at the heart of IDP protection.

In Somalia, a comprehensive national Policy Framework on Displacement was developed in 2014. This includes provisions for early warning, data collection and designation of roles within the national authorities.\(^{57}\)

The Arusha Peace and Reconciliation Agreement for Burundi includes multiple provisions relating to the protection and assistance of IDPs. These include provisions related to responsibilities for humanitarian aid and to access and security for international personnel.\(^{58}\)

In Nigeria, the Humanitarian Coordination Forum and its sector-specific working groups are active at the federal level and in many of the states in the north-east, including the three states most affected by internal displacement (Borno, Adamawa and Yobe). The coordination forums have helped to improve regular information exchange between all stakeholders involved in protection and assistance for IDPs. All actors should continue to strengthen these forums and improve coordination.

In addition, IDPs in many of the camps in Yola and Maiduguri participate in decisions regarding their assistance and protection through camp chairmen and chairwomen. These positions have been created by the IDPs in the camps so that the views of both male and female IDPs are taken into account.

Also in Nigeria, considerable efforts have been made by national stakeholders, with support from the IOM, to implement the Data Tracking Matrix. This tool has provided a reference base line of the number of IDPs in the north-eastern region of the country, enabling more informed programming. Further efforts should be taken to ensure accurate data in areas that are harder to access for security reasons.

In Zambia, the authorities have established a Disaster Management and Mitigation Unit, which reports to the vice-president and receives funds every year.\(^{59}\)

In Burkina Faso, CONASUR, the government body responsible for disaster response, has been able, together with donors, to respond effectively and rapidly to short-term crises. Having a standing


\(^{57}\) See J. Drumtra, Internal Displacement in Somalia, op. cit., pp. 16-17.


structure in place certainly improves a State’s capacity to respond to emergencies, and allows for an accumulation of experience and the development of standing procedures.

Where legislative or other delays mean that an appropriate coordination structure for IDPs is not established, other existing structures can, in some cases, be adapted to provide practical responses to the needs of IDPs. This can prove useful, although it does not diminish the importance of coordination structures and bodies specifically established for the purpose of dealing with internal displacement. Thus in Chad, the CNARR, has, in practice, played some role in relation to IDPs. In Liberia, the Refugee Commission would be mobilized in cases of internal displacement.

In some countries, such as Rwanda and Ethiopia, State authorities have agreements with their country’s NS for initial assessment and rapid response at the outset of a displacement situation. This type of agreement can be very valuable in that it can, in advance, serve to put in place plans and resources needed to respond to sudden emergencies.

There have been positive experiences in a number of contexts with the use of collaborative profiling exercises (e.g. those led by the JIPS in the field).60

**KEY CHALLENGES**

In a number of States, processes and plans for a coordination body have been developed and sometimes approved, but not fully implemented. Reasons for this may include delays in appointing key personnel and a lack of funds, political will or agreement on the roles of the coordination body or departments or agencies concerned.

In several contexts, States have established a coordination body for IDP issues but have failed to adequately fund it, whether from State resources (annual or ad hoc funds) or from partner/donor funding.

Furthermore, a coordinating body for refugee response or disaster risk reduction/response has been established in some countries, but not explicitly mandated to address IDP issues. During internal displacement crises, this body may de facto (or by default) be tasked with responding. Although this may prove useful, there are risks that the coordinating body may lack either the authority or resources to address IDP issues effectively.

Many States experience difficulties in establishing and maintaining the required level of dialogue with internally displaced communities. This can be the result of various factors, ranging from it not being seen as a priority to inadequate policies and structures and security/access concerns, etc. This can undermine the authorities’ ability to implement programmes that respond to the needs of IDPs on the ground using available resources in the most efficient way.

A related difficulty arises when the IDP representatives (e.g. the IDP committees or chairpersons in camp settings) with whom the authorities engage, do not fully reflect the composition and views of the entire displaced community. It is often the most marginalized or vulnerable sub-groups with specific needs (e.g. women, the elderly and the disabled) who are not well represented. This can make it hard for authorities to accurately assess the range of existing needs.

Ensuring accurate assessments and planning well-targeted assistance and protection programmes in a timely manner outside major urban areas is often a challenge. Experience shows that the greatest knowledge and technical skills to perform needs assessments and plan assistance activities tend to be concentrated in the capitals or major cities. When IDPs are located outside these areas, it can be harder to address their needs.

Another challenge frequently faced in implementing effective responses is the lack of solid and comprehensive data on the needs, vulnerabilities and capacities of IDPs in a given context. This includes disaggregated data (by sex, age or other relevant factors) that can constitute an agreed-upon baseline

---

for all actors concerned. There can be many obstacles to the identification of IDPs and adequate data
collection. These can include IDPs’ frequent dispersion with host families and communities, the lack
of access to internally displaced communities by authorities or humanitarian actors, or IDPs seeking
anonymity for protection reasons. Where an overly restrictive definition of IDPs is adopted, this may
result in data that does not accurately reflect the scope of the problem.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For States

**RECOMMENDATION 8:** States should take steps to ensure that the designated coordinating
authority or body has the necessary mandate and legitimacy to mobilize all ministries and agencies
concerned, and is granted adequate resources (human and financial) to function effectively.

- It is essential that a State’s normative and policy frameworks on IDPs are accompanied by the right
government structure to operationalize these frameworks.
- The precise arrangements will vary from State to State, but in every case the designated authority
must have the mandate and legitimacy needed in a given State to be effective.
- Of particular importance are the arrangements for cooperation and coordination between ministries
and agencies at the national level, as well as between the national and local levels.
- Without sustained political will, even the best-designed coordination body for IDP responses is
unlikely to ever be – or remain – effective in assisting and protecting IDPs.
- The designated authority must also consistently – or in times of a crisis – have adequate financial
and human resources, so as to be in a position to translate the laws and policies into concrete action.

**RECOMMENDATION 9:** Public authorities should develop adequate capacities at all levels to
gather and maintain solid and current data on the needs, vulnerabilities and capacities of IDPs, as
well as on durable solutions, including disaggregated data (by sex, age and other relevant factors).
(See also Recommendation 18 on the importance of authorities maintaining an IDP register.)

- It is in the interest of every State to have the best available information about the needs of people
under its jurisdiction, especially those who are vulnerable because of internal displacement.
- The availability of solid data leads to more effective and targeted responses, translating into better
assistance and protection for the displaced. When all the actors concerned support the data analysis,
this can provide a valuable common baseline for coordinated programming.
- States that engage in collaborative data collection and analysis with international actors will
strengthen their own capacities in this domain. In addition, they will be able to help ensure that data
is collected, analysed and understood with the benefit of accurate knowledge of the context and the
displacement-affected communities concerned.
- Data on durable solutions (e.g. which solutions IDPs would prefer in a given context, numbers of
people who have integrated locally, pursued return, etc.) is also very important. This not only helps
ensure a better response in a given context, but also provides greater insights that can be useful to
improving responses elsewhere.

---

61 Please refer to section 3 of the Findings and Recommendations for more information on need assessments and engagement
with IDPs and host communities.

62 Please refer to the JIPS website, http://www.jips.org/, for further benefits of collaborative data collection and analysis.
RECOMMENDATION 10: Public authorities should proactively ensure consultation of and active engagement with IDPs and host communities in order to ensure their participation in decision-making on actions undertaken on their behalf. This engagement should take into account the diverse profiles of the displaced population (e.g. sex, age and other factors). (See also Recommendation 22 on the importance of consultation and active engagement of IDPs in decision-making on durable solutions.)

- This is the single most important element in ensuring that the needs of IDPs are effectively met in each phase of their displacement. This in turn helps ensure accountability towards the people on behalf of whom activities are carried out. Accountability requires that activities respond to people’s real needs and priority concerns, and make the most effective use of available resources.

- The necessary engagement starts with needs assessments, continues throughout the design and implementation of programme responses, and concludes with the monitoring and evaluation of the responses.

- Consultation of and engagement with IDPs are consistent with full consideration of their human dignity and rights. Both help reinforce the agency and autonomy of IDPs themselves, individually and in communities. As a result, IDPs are able to contribute to their own protection and assistance.

- Particular attention should be paid to ensuring that women, elderly people, the disabled and minority groups are able to make their voice heard and can participate in the decision-making process.

- It is important that IDPs are actively involved, through their community leaders and chairpersons, in the management of their camps.

- Public authorities should ensure continual dialogue with IDP communities, as their needs are likely to change as the situation evolves. Consideration of the wishes and concerns of IDPs is especially important in order to provide durable solutions, which should be the result of a free and informed choice.

RECOMMENDATION 11: Public authorities at all levels with responsibilities for delivering assistance should establish mechanisms for monitoring short and longer-term outcomes. These should incorporate appropriate elements of accountability to IDPs.

- The main advantage of establishing an effective monitoring mechanism is an improved capacity on the part of the authorities to ensure that assistance reaches those for whom it is intended, and that resources are used in the most efficient way.

- Monitoring and evaluation allows for programmes to be adjusted and adapted, as needed, so that the assistance provided responds best to the needs of IDPs.

- Effective monitoring and evaluation can involve a two-way feedback loop that allows IDP communities to provide continuous input on programming responses intended to benefit them.

- When each of the public authorities has mechanisms in place to effectively monitor the impact of assistance and ensure accountability, this can have a positive effect across government bodies, strengthening the State’s capacities in overall programme delivery and accountability.

- An effective monitoring mechanism will add to donor confidence about the impact of protection and assistance activities for IDPs.

- Effective monitoring mechanisms can be used to encourage other stakeholders to establish their own mechanisms for monitoring short and longer-term outcomes of assistance.
For other actors

RECOMMENDATION 12: When supporting States to develop laws and policies including national strategies on internal displacement, other actors should favour approaches that ensure the maximum ownership on the part of the authorities. (See also Recommendation 6 on the role of other actors in awareness-raising and capacity-building.)

- International actors must allow States to nourish a sense of ownership in the development of laws and policies, including of national strategies, to address IDP-related situations. This can help ensure that national authorities are committed and able to advance the strategies and policies in the implementation process.

- To this effect, international actors should aim for genuine partnerships and incorporate national capacity-building into their programme objectives and schedules.
3. PROVIDING ADEQUATE HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE TO IDPs

Men carry food rations airdropped by the ICRC in Jonglei State, South Sudan (2015).
SUMMARY OF KEY OBLIGATIONS

(a) Provide IDPs with adequate food and other essential items to the fullest extent practicable and with the least possible delay (Art. 9.2(b));
(b) Provide IDPs with adequate shelter to the fullest extent practicable and with the least possible delay (Art. 9.2(b));
(c) Provide IDPs with adequate water and sanitation to the fullest extent practicable and with the least possible delay (Art. 9.2(b));
(d) Provide IDPs with adequate medical care and other health services to the fullest extent practicable and with the least possible delay (Art. 9.2(b));
(e) Provide IDPs with education and any other necessary social services to the fullest extent practicable and with the least possible delay (Art. 9.2(b));
(f) Support self-reliance and sustainable livelihood initiatives as appropriate and feasible (Art. 3.1(k));
(g) Provide assistance to host communities where appropriate (Art. 9.2(b));
(h) Facilitate rapid and unimpeded access to IDPs by humanitarian organizations (Art. 3.1(j); Art. 5.7).

LESSONS LEARNED

When States lack the necessary human and financial resources to fulfil their primary role and duty in responding to internal displacement and assisting IDPs, effective access to IDPs by humanitarian organizations is a key factor in meeting the needs of those IDPs. This must be accompanied by a commitment of state authorities and international actors to abide by humanitarian principles in providing assistance to IDPs. The importance of upholding humanitarian principles to maintain or expand access cannot be overstated.

In many places, IDPs are not accommodated in camps or other official facilities but instead stay with host families and in host communities. In such situations, experience shows that it is essential to consider the needs of host communities when assessing the needs of IDPs, as opposed to considering IDP needs in isolation. This takes into account the possible negative impact of displacement on those who receive IDPs, particularly as a result of sharing already strained resources. Acknowledging the significant contributions that host communities often give also helps to reduce or avoid possible tensions between them and IDPs.

As with other target groups, cash-based interventions on behalf of IDPs should be privileged by States and other actors, provided that local markets are functioning. Cash transfer programmes can give ownership and dignity to IDPs, allowing them to determine their own priorities and make choices on how to spend it. Further, cash enables a “virtuous cycle” at a market level, as the money provided to the beneficiaries is reinjected in the market, and resident shopkeepers benefit from it. This can result in IDPs being perceived as less of a burden by the host community.

For rural communities with farming as their main livelihood, protracted displacement to urban areas might require re-orienting their livelihood strategies to access the formal labour market. States and other actors should create opportunities for vocational training and employability in favour of IDPs, supporting registered micro-economic initiatives. In the same vein, if protracted displacement occurs in a rural area, local authorities should facilitate the official allocation of arable land for agricultural activities, in order to avoid the risk of “daily labour” exploitation.

63 It must be recognized that in some countries, IDPs may not seek shelter with host communities but may choose, or be obliged, to base themselves in remote and hard-to-reach areas because of security and other concerns.
It is important to consider that schools and other community structures can provide a short-term solution for housing IDPs. However, the communal nature of these ad hoc facilities and the lack of privacy prevent normal family cohesion in the long term. In addition, this sort of solution in the mid-to-long term will have a negative impact on access to education for the children residing in the area, as the school will not be fully available for classes. This can also be an additional source of tensions between residents and IDPs.

**SOME EXAMPLES OF GOOD PRACTICES**

In Burkina Faso, CONASUR, the government’s body responsible for disaster response, has in the short term been able to provide a rapid and effective assistance response to emergencies, together with donors.

In a number of countries, humanitarian organizations are generally given rapid and unimpeded access to IDPs by State authorities.

In Rwanda, for example, the State authorities have engaged humanitarian actors in a National Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction, which meets regularly. This ongoing cooperation can help improve coordination in times of emergencies and thus improve access for the provision of humanitarian assistance to the resident and displaced communities most in need.

Also in Rwanda, the State authorities have established a structure within the government that centralises decision-making of possible requests for international assistance. The National Disaster Management Executive Committee (NDMEC), which includes ministries and agencies with responsibilities in responding to natural disasters, advises the Rwandan government on disaster situations where domestic capacities may not be sufficient and recommends, where necessary, that the government seek international assistance. Similar mechanisms can help ensure a speedy delivery of assistance as needed.

In Ethiopia, in the context of implementing the National Policy and Strategy on Disaster Risk Management, the government has established Disaster Risk Management and Food Security Committees not only in the capital, but also at the local level. These committees are directly involved in the counting of IDPs, initial assessments, compilation of figures with IOM support, and the provision of assistance through government, the Ethiopian Red Cross Society, international NGOs and humanitarian actors, such as the ICRC.

Some interesting examples have been found concerning the important issue of access to education for internally displaced children. In Mali, the national authorities have organized mobile schools (écoles itinérantes) and special examination sessions to allow internally displaced children and youth to continue their education. Also in Mali, the authorities have facilitated issuing birth certificates for displaced children in order to enrol them in school. This measure has benefited both children who needed to begin their primary education and children who were already attending school in their place of origin, but needed to go to school now in their place of displacement. In Ethiopia, local school authorities have allowed internally displaced children who did not have resident personal identity documents to attend school, thus avoiding or reducing interruptions to their education. Similarly, in South Sudan, the authorities have sometimes made arrangements to facilitate access to education for internally displaced children, e.g. by waiving school fees or approving curricula for schools in Protection of Civilians sites located on UNMISS bases.

64 The functions of the NDMEC are provided for in Section II.3.1 of the 2009 National Disaster Management Policy (revised in 2012), op. cit. They include “taking appropriate actions when the impact of the disaster goes over the national capacity to cope with it” and “advising H.E. the president on whether to declare a national disaster and subsequently to appeal for international assistance based on information and analysis provided by NDMEC”. See also Republic of Rwanda, Law No. 41/2015 of 29/08/2015 relating to Disaster Management, Article 16, op. cit.

65 However, these ad hoc arrangements highlight the importance of States developing effective programmes to ensure that IDPs, including children, receive necessary identity and other documents to allow them to benefit from education and other services: see key obligation (g) in the section on protection of IDPs below and Recommendation 19.
KEY CHALLENGES

In a number of countries, recent or current armed conflicts mean that the authorities are not functioning at their best. In some cases, there is a new government that is at the start of its mandate and thus still in the process of defining priorities and establishing effective control over, and coordination with, all the relevant departments and agencies. This may have a negative impact on the provision of humanitarian assistance to IDPs.

Similarly, ongoing armed conflicts may prevent States from enabling safe access to IDPs by their own officials and agencies and/or by international and humanitarian actors. In such cases, there is also the risk that urgent military concerns may lead to overly broad restrictions on humanitarian access which are incompatible with fundamental rules of IHL.

One specific challenge is linked to the fact that States may not have adequate financial resources or a large enough pool of qualified human resources to respond to the needs of the population at the best of times. In such circumstances, the State will probably lack the capacity to respond adequately to the assistance needs of IDPs and host communities in times of crisis.

Another point to consider is that, although States may cooperate effectively with donors to respond in the short term, the lack of programmes and policies for the post-emergency phase often results in weaker responses by the State and donors alike.

Furthermore, in a number of countries, State initiatives to assess or facilitate assessment of the needs, vulnerabilities and capacities of IDPs, in cooperation with international organizations, have not been systematic.

Some States may, for various reasons, underestimate the scale or duration of an internal displacement crisis. This risks leaving urgent assistance and protection needs of IDPs unmet. It may also result in inadequate attention by States and other actors to the issue of durable solutions for IDPs. RECs and RMs may not fully realize their potential to encourage States to properly address the scale and duration of a given IDP-related situation.

Experience also indicates that, when programmes to promote self-reliance and sustainable livelihoods are absent or not sufficiently developed, IDPs are often not in a position to pursue any possible independent economic opportunities. This in turn exacerbates their dependency on humanitarian assistance, which can prolong or increase the burden of the authorities in this regard. In addition, in many of these cases, IDPs end up becoming involved in the informal economy. This can include activities that, although providing some much-needed income for internally displaced families, can have negative environmental and social consequences. It can also include some harmful coping mechanisms, such as child labour and prostitution.

In addition, in many of these cases, IDPs end up becoming involved in the informal economy. This can include activities that, although providing some much-needed income for internally displaced families, can have negative environmental and social consequences. It can also include some harmful coping mechanisms, such as child labour and prostitution.

There are also specific challenges in promoting self-reliance for IDPs in camp settings. One key set of constraints are security considerations, which may lead the authorities to restrict the freedom of movement of IDPs and limit the flow of goods and services in and out of camps. As a result, IDPs’ ability to access livelihoods and carry out some income-generating activities (e.g. casual labour, petty trade) may be undermined.

Another example of challenges can be seen in contexts where the prolonged presence of IDPs leads to competition with host communities for scarce resources, both natural resources and public services such as health care, education and water. This can create or exacerbate tensions, in some cases adding to pre-existing ethnic, social or cultural tensions and differences.

Finally, in some places, the lack of respect for the fundamental rules of IHL during armed conflict means that health care facilities, such as hospitals and health clinics, are not accorded due protection, and are sometimes even deliberately attacked.66 This makes access to health care for IDPs (as well as for the civilian population in general) even more challenging.

---

RECOMMENDATIONS

For States

RECOMMENDATION 13: Assessments should look at the needs and capacities of IDPs, as well as those of host communities, local authorities and services, to continuously inform the design of programme responses.

- Because host communities are so often both a key part of responses to situations of internal displacement and negatively affected by such situations, their own needs must also be addressed. The aim is to ensure their continued capacity to provide for themselves and to support those who are displaced.

- Failure to pay attention to the needs of host community can lead to heightened tensions and competition between them and IDPs. This can result in greater vulnerability for IDPs and reduced options for durable solutions.

- Assessment and monitoring of local authorities and services can allow for programming which reinforces them, rather than seeking to create parallel services which can be duplicative and more costly.

RECOMMENDATION 14: States should develop capabilities to foresee, assess and respond effectively to the multiple needs of IDPs.

- States have the primary responsibility to respond to internal displacement in an effective manner. This includes assessing the needs of IDPs under their jurisdiction and providing them with adequate humanitarian assistance, including food, water, shelter, medical care, education and access to livelihoods.

- States should seek international support where national resources are not adequate to meet the needs of IDPs. International organizations can complement and support the efforts of the authorities, especially in case of sudden and/or massive displacement. However, they cannot replace them, nor does the involvement or support of international organizations diminish a State’s sovereign responsibilities towards its population.

- States should seek opportunities to develop national capacities for assessment of needs, whether within government or with trusted local partners, such as the NS.

RECOMMENDATION 15: States should ensure that all relevant public authorities – including armed and security forces – are fully informed of their obligations and instructed to facilitate rapid and unimpeded access of humanitarian organizations to IDPs. They should also ensure that IDPs can meet their basic needs (such as water, food, shelter, etc.) and access essential services (such as medical care, education, etc.)

- Discharging their obligation to assist IDPs and, where appropriate, host communities, whether independently or with the support of international actors, is an exercise of State sovereignty.

- States with limited resources will often be able to meet the needs of IDPs on their territory only with international support, particularly in case of a sudden crisis. Where international organizations’ access to IDP communities, and vice versa, is hindered, the risks of serious harm to the IDPs in need become much greater.

- Besides the unacceptable humanitarian consequences, interference with humanitarian access can increase tensions between IDPs and host communities, in addition to exacerbating possible grievances and mistrust of State authorities on the part of IDP communities.

- Failure to ensure rapid and unimpeded humanitarian access may, in certain circumstances, constitute a serious violation of IHL (i.e. a war crime).
Public authorities – including armed and security forces – should understand and respect the working arrangements of humanitarian actors, for instance, that some organizations may not be able to accept armed escorts to facilitate access to less secure areas.

**For other actors**

**RECOMMENDATION 16:** Other actors should ensure that requests for rapid and unimpeded access to IDPs by humanitarian organizations, as well as activities carried out pursuant to such access, be in full accordance with the principles of humanity, neutrality, impartiality and independence of humanitarian actors.

- Respect for humanitarian principles will best serve to guarantee effective and repeated access to IDPs and host communities for humanitarian organizations. As such, it will be of the greatest benefit to IDPs in need.

- At times, States may be cautious about the presence and activities of international organizations on their territory. Consistent respect for humanitarian principles by humanitarian actors can help mitigate this.
4. PROTECTION OF IDPs
**SUMMARY OF KEY OBLIGATIONS**

(a) Respect and ensure the rights of IDPs to seek safety (Art. 9.2(e)) and be received without discrimination (Art. 9.2(a));
(b) Ensure that IDPs live in satisfactory conditions of safety, dignity and security (Art. 9.2(a));
(c) Respect the civilian and humanitarian character of IDP sites (Art. 9.2(g));
(d) Guarantee the freedom of movement and choice of residence of IDPs (Art. 9.2(f));
(e) Take necessary measures to trace and reunify families separated through displacement (Art. 9.2(h));
(f) Create and maintain an updated register of all IDPs (Art. 13.1);
(g) Ensure that IDPs are issued necessary personal identity and other official documents (Art. 13.2).

**LESSONS LEARNED**

Across Africa, experience shows that where IDPs have access to basic communication services, they are able to reconnect with their loved ones and help each other. Only a limited number of them will need to depend on established mechanisms to find missing family members.

It is critical to note that supporting IDPs’ efforts to restore contact with their family members as soon as possible can have several advantages. First, it prevents people from going missing, reduces the number of persons unaccounted for and alleviates the suffering of IDPs who do not know the fate and whereabouts of their loved ones. Second, it can reduce the burden on the authorities and humanitarian actors (e.g. the costs of providing for unaccompanied minors for extended periods of time). Finally, it may also facilitate durable solutions, insofar as families may be unwilling to relocate until missing family members are found, or conversely, may be in a better position to relocate if family links in the area of relocation are restored.

As such, ad hoc actions on restoring family links are important, for example, through liaison with the ICRC and/or the local NS, even in the absence of a properly structured mechanism. At the same time, every case that is resolved reduces vulnerability and can empower the IDPs concerned, so a structured strategy is warranted.

Another important lesson learned is that efficient strategies for the provision or replacement of necessary personal identity and other official documents deliver dividends in responding to urgent humanitarian needs. They facilitate medium and longer-term efforts to enable IDPs to move freely, access livelihood options and pursue durable solutions themselves. This can, in turn, facilitate family reunification.

On a final note, investment in ensuring that IDP sites maintain their civilian and humanitarian character can bring important returns in mitigating security and vulnerability risks. The IDPs in question will enjoy better protection, which will reduce “self-defence” efforts in the camps and often benefit nearby communities alike.

**SOME EXAMPLES OF GOOD PRACTICES**

Many important provisions for the protection of IDPs were included in the comprehensive peace agreement negotiated in 2015 between the government of South Sudan and the opposition. For example, the mandate of the Transitional Government for National Unity includes expediting “the relief, protection, voluntary and dignified repatriation, rehabilitation and resettlement of IDPs”.

While these commitments have not yet been implemented, they are important undertakings concerning IDPs by the government and the opposition that may become concrete in the future.

---

Similarly, provisions for the protection of IDPs were also included in the 2000 Arusha Peace and Reconciliation Agreement for Burundi, which remains relevant today.69

It should be noted that, in Niger, the authorities have at times provided for the evacuation/transfer of the disabled and the elderly to safer locations. By doing so, they have complied with their obligations to protect IDPs, taking account of the special needs of some of the most vulnerable members of the displaced communities.

In Uganda, the National Strategy for IDPs expressly authorizes local authorities to issue necessary documents to IDPs.70 This includes replacement of documents lost as a result of displacement. The Strategy specifically precludes the imposition of fines or extra costs for replacing documents, or of other “unreasonable conditions”. Of note, the Strategy specifies that men and women shall have equal rights to obtain identification documents, and that women have the right to have such documents issued in their own name.

In addition to States, other stakeholders can be both actors and catalysts in the development of good practice. In the Central African Republic, MINUSCA adopted a directive concerning the civilian character of IDP camps.71 This followed a joint consideration by MINUSCA, the local authorities in Bambari and Kagabandoro, and international organizations. The directive specified the role and responsibilities of the authorities and MINUSCA in ensuring that weapons and armed groups do not find their way into IDP camps. This is an example of a multilateral arrangement that built on a State’s political will.

In Nigeria, a respectful and professional screening process is used to guarantee security in the majority of the IDP camps in Yola and Maiduguri. In particular, female IDPs are screened by female police and female members of the Civilian Joint Task Force, with a view to ensuring respect for IDPs’ dignity and integrity.

Also, in Nigeria the establishment of police units responsible for law enforcement activities within IDP camps in Yola and Maiduguri (including solving disputes among IDPs, such as theft, marriage-related issues and others) is a good example of a community-based initiative. These police units are composed of police officers who are themselves displaced, and reproduce the structure that was previously in place in their local government areas.

**KEY CHALLENGES**

Complex challenges may arise in striking the right balance between humanitarian and security considerations in regulating the movement of IDPs. This is valid with respect to IDPs’ movements both en route to the place of displacement and at the place of displacement (particularly movements in and out of IDP camps), as well as in the screening of IDPs. The rights of IDPs are not always fully understood or respected in practice, with the result that consideration of these rights, when faced with security concerns, may be less rigorous than is required.

One very real and practical challenge during armed conflicts is that of maintaining the strictly civilian and humanitarian character of IDP camps and other settings.72 For example, the permanent presence of national armed forces inside a camp, which may be triggered by security concerns, may increase the risk of attacks on the camp.

---


National armed forces engaged in armed conflict may have legitimate reasons under IHL for considering the evacuation of civilians, namely when imperative military reasons or the security of the civilians involved so demand. However, in practice, their decision-making does not always take national law and international obligations with respect to civilians into account, particularly with regard to conditions of displacement (e.g. access to adequate food, shelter, water, respect for family unity) and to the fact that an evacuation can only last as long as the conditions warranting it exist. Thereafter the right to return voluntarily should be respected, though this is sometimes not the case in practice.

Additional challenges can be found in some countries where authorities fail to establish a systematic approach to family reunification. In such circumstances, public officials – especially at the local level – sometimes refer cases to humanitarian organizations. However, this remains ad hoc and falls short of the State’s obligations in this regard.

It is imperative to highlight that, in armed conflicts, internal displacement exacerbates the vulnerability of IDPs to certain types of abuses, which underscores the importance of upholding IHL rules. In particular, it increases the risk of sexual violence, whether in IDP camps or elsewhere.

Experience indicates that, in several contexts, IDPs face difficulties in obtaining official documents – whether regular personal identity, residence documents or special documents which recognize their displaced status and facilitate access to services accordingly. The causes for this can vary, from insufficient personnel in public offices in regions crowded with IDPs, to an overly strict reliance on rules concerning provision of documents, e.g. that they be issued only in the IDP’s habitual place of residence. Whatever the causes, the lack of official documents can limit IDPs’ freedom of movement and access to livelihood options. In addition, the lack of documents can restrict access to education for IDP children and youth, and can also, as noted elsewhere in this report, impede family reunification.

RECOMMENDATIONS

For States

RECOMMENDATION 17: States should ensure that all relevant public authorities – including armed and security forces – are fully informed of their obligations and instructed to facilitate freedom of movement and residence of IDPs.

- Freedom of movement involves both the ability to reach a safe place and then, once there, the ability to move freely in and out of the displacement location to access essential services, goods, employment and to restore or maintain family links.

- While there is a recognized need to strike a balance between humanitarian considerations and security concerns, the right to freedom of movement and residence needs to be considered fundamental for IDPs, just as it would be for other citizens or residents of any State.

- Greater freedom of movement increases the capacities of IDPs to move according to their own priorities and to become self-reliant. This potentially reduces the burdens on host communities, camp facilities and, ultimately, State authorities.

- Conversely, undue restrictions on freedom of movement of IDPs can increase the humanitarian needs of IDPs and host communities alike.

RECOMMENDATION 18: Public authorities should develop adequate capacities at central and local levels to create and maintain an updated register of all IDPs. This can provide an agreed-upon baseline for all actors concerned. (See also Recommendation 9 on the importance of data collection.)

73 Customary IHL Database, op. cit., Rule 129.

● For protection purposes, reliable data on vulnerable individuals and families in the form of a register is vital.75

● Personal information collected in relation to the register must be compiled and handled in accordance with all relevant protection of personal data laws and standards, as well as due regard for the security and dignity of the IDPs and, where relevant, their hosts.

**RECOMMENDATION 19:** Public authorities should endeavour to allocate adequate efforts and resources to ensure that IDPs are able to obtain personal identity documents and other official documents within a reasonable time.

● This can help reinforce the agency and self-reliance of IDPs. It can make it possible for them to move more freely (e.g. in order to seek assistance and to get access to employment opportunities), and also to demonstrate eligibility for assistance and protection programmes.

● It helps a State fulfil its obligations to maintain a register of IDPs and to facilitate family reunification. It also contributes to accurate data collection, by allowing a State to have and analyse up-to-date information on the extent of an IDP crisis. This in turn helps a State ensure more effectively targeted assistance and protection activities.

**RECOMMENDATION 20:** Public authorities should strengthen their laws, policies and concrete measures to ensure that the civilian and humanitarian character of IDP sites is maintained.

● This is a critical action to help ensure the protection of highly vulnerable people in IDP camps and other settings.

● International and humanitarian actors can assist States in this regard. For example, responsibilities may be shared between national armed forces and any UN forces operating in the country.

**For other actors**

**RECOMMENDATION 21:** International and humanitarian actors should provide coordinated support to States to ensure a practical and effective system to address family tracing and family reunification needs.

● Displacement often causes separation between family members.

● Many States recognize the importance of family tracing and family reunification activities, but a number of them lack specific mechanisms to carry out these activities.

● Many States are willing to receiving support and advice from international and humanitarian actors to ensure that contact can be restored between family members separated because of displacement.

● NSs are usually well placed to assist with this, often in cooperation with the ICRC. Their involvement helps to build a system to ensure that people separated from their families receive sufficient attention.

● Under humanitarian law, everyone has the right to know what has happened to his or her missing relatives and to communicate with members of their family from whom they have been separated. States bear the main responsibility for ensuring that the rights of families who have become separated are respected.

● Certain groups of people are particularly vulnerable and have specific needs to be addressed. These include children who may find themselves separated from their parents, and elderly and disabled people who may have lost contact with their care-givers and not be able to fend for themselves.

---

5. DURABLE SOLUTIONS FOR IDPs

The inhabitants of Apyeta in Uganda return to their homes after having been displaced (2008).
SUMMARY OF KEY OBLIGATIONS

(a) Allow IDPs to make an informed choice on whether to return, integrate locally or relocate by consulting them on these and other options and ensuring their participation in finding sustainable solutions (Art. 11.2);
(b) Promote and create satisfactory conditions for voluntary, safe and dignified return, integration or relocation on a sustainable basis and in circumstances of safety and dignity (Art. 11.1);
(c) Protect IDPs against forcible return to or resettlement in any place where their life, safety, liberty and/or health would be at risk (Art. 9.2(e)).

LESSONS LEARNED

One usual prerequisite for durable solutions is an adequate and timely response during a crisis phase and early recovery. An inadequate response, particularly during ongoing armed conflict, can mean that the safety and protection of IDPs are not effectively guaranteed, which may result in further displacement. It may also mean that IDPs are not sufficiently supported in their efforts to re-establish their self-reliance, thus prolonging their dependence on humanitarian assistance. An inadequate crisis response may create and magnify tensions between host communities and displaced people. It can lead to security and economic problems, which in turn can limit options for durable solutions, notably, by hampering local integration. In some case, inadequate responses may be symptomatic of ineffective coordination between humanitarian and development actors.76

It is valuable for a State to anticipate and integrate durable solution considerations from the outset when responding to a situation of internal displacement. Some decisions taken in the initial stages of a displacement crisis (for example, concerning where and how to accommodate IDPs) can, at a later stage, have an impact on efforts to achieve durable solutions. From this perspective, the adoption of a comprehensive law/policy instrument in which assistance, protection and durable solutions for IDPs are simultaneously and comprehensively addressed, could be a very useful step towards ensuring a holistic approach. In the same vein, attention to durable solutions for IDPs may in some cases be most effectively addressed as part of a broader national development strategy.

Some States invest in the promotion and creation of satisfactory conditions for voluntary, safe and dignified return, integration or relocation. In general, these States achieve greater success and more sustainable results. Oftentimes, this is realized through coordinated strategies by State authorities – including the armed and security forces. It is important to highlight that sustainable results are most often achieved through realistic schedules and consultation with international, regional or national partners.

Experience has also shown that adequate and genuine consultation and dialogue with internally displaced communities are essential prerequisites for durable solutions. Without this, initiatives such as the simple closure of camps are often artificial solutions that do not result in truly durable solutions, instead leading to renewed or protracted displacement. Examples of properly planned assistance to help returnees or relocated IDPs to meet their immediate basic needs and to foster the early recovery of their livelihoods, have proven more efficient.

To be effective, consultation and dialogue on durable solutions must be based on sufficient and reliable information as to the prospects and conditions for each durable solution. In this perspective, it is critical that IDPs be given access to information on conditions in their habitual place of residence in order to be able to assess realistically the viability of return. “Go-and-see” visits, where feasible, can prove useful in this regard. Threats to security in the habitual place of return (e.g. landmines and unexploded ordnance) need to be explained to IDPs and addressed by the authorities before IDPs are encouraged to consider return.

The inclusion in a peace agreement of key provisions on the protection of IDPs and durable solutions can help improve the possibilities for IDPs to have effective access to durable solutions, once core political issues are addressed.

The importance of official documents comes up again in efforts to identify and realize durable solutions. At this phase, it is often documents relating to housing, land and property that are of most concern. Here again, national authorities need to anticipate and respond to the needs of IDPs.77

**SOME EXAMPLES OF GOOD PRACTICES**

Legal protections for IDPs at the constitutional and ordinary domestic law levels are important elements in creating an environment conducive to durable solutions. For example, in Ethiopia, the constitution, the Criminal Code and the Land Administration Policy all potentially provide important protections for IDPs, which are essential for dignified durable solutions. These fundamental legal protections lay the groundwork for the more specific legal and policy measures that are required in each case.

Uganda’s National Strategy contains detailed provisions regarding voluntary return and resettlement for IDPs, including on the need for “objective and accurate information relevant to their return or reintegration to their homes.”78

In Central African Republic, ad hoc structures were created by the new government to study the eventual closure of IDP camps in M’poko and the return or relocation of IDPs accommodated there. This is an expression of political will to tackle the issue of durable solutions, which hopefully will give impetus to future strategies in this regard.

In Liberia, a 2014 workshop gathered key stakeholders to address how they could implement the Kampala Convention better in post-conflict Liberia. They identified a range of follow-up actions. This included a call on the Liberian government to strengthen its capacities and commit more resources to reintegration and reconciliation programmes for long-term displaced persons, including a sustainable low-cost housing programme to facilitate returns.79

The Peace and Reconciliation Agreement for Mali contains a chapter dealing with humanitarian issues, in which the parties undertake to create the necessary conditions for facilitating the rapid return, repatriation, and reintegration of internally displaced people and refugees. Humanitarian organizations and agencies are invited to support the parties’ efforts in this regard.80

**KEY CHALLENGES**

States may lack financial resources to adequately and comprehensively address the issue of durable solutions for IDP communities. In addition, States that demonstrate a readiness to assist IDPs in the short term, may not sustain interest to develop mid- to long-term solutions.

Specifically, in cases of protracted armed conflict, government policy-making may be focused mainly on the short/mid-term, making long-term solutions for IDPs a very low priority. In such circumstances, donors and international partners may become reluctant to provide funding and technical assistance where a State has no comprehensive strategy for durable solutions for IDPs. Yet, the longer a conflict lasts, the more necessary it becomes to engage with displaced people and other affected communities at a structural level, to reinforce their ability to live in dignity in deteriorating conditions. At times,

---

medium and long-term activities to support infrastructure and services are the most appropriate response to meet the urgent needs of individuals.

In protracted armed conflicts, bringing parties to comply with IHL and limit the destruction and deterioration of services during the hostilities is also a key challenge. Such destruction not only leads to further deterioration of people’s living conditions, but also impedes the eventual return of IDPs.

Another challenge concerns donors themselves, who may unwittingly contribute to the premature return or relocation of IDPs. This may arise where their funding terms and schedules inadvertently create pressure on State authorities to show rapid results on durable solutions. Donors’ decisions to curtail “emergency funding”, without ensuring effective transition to development programmes, may also lead to the same result. The importance of responding to urgent needs and long-term needs to minimize the cumulative impact of armed conflict and prevent development reversals is, however, increasingly recognized. Ensuring such humanitarian continuity requires changes to funding allocation processes, so that multi-year humanitarian financing becomes viable and reliable.

It is clear that, without regular consultation and dialogue on available solutions and an understanding of their rights and obligations, IDPs will not be able to make choices as to possible options.

In this regard, challenges can arise from decisions made to close camps without due regard for the security and wishes of IDPs. This may occur because not all government branches and agencies (e.g. the ministries responsible for national security, social services and the armed forces) have the same understanding of the State’s obligations towards IDPs. Under such circumstances, considerations other than those related to the IDPs’ rights may influence decision-making that has implications for IDPs. Similarly, challenges can arise if IDPs are encouraged to return prematurely, including through offers of assistance, without adequate information or sustainable support.

The process of achieving durable solutions often requires dealing with complex issues, such as that of land/property restitution or compensation. This can contribute to delays in the durable solution process. An additional obstacle is created by the fact that the preferred durable solution in some cases may not be feasible for the time being. This is the case, for example, where IDPs wish to return to their home, but the area is still not safe because the conditions from which their displacement originated, continue to exist.81 Sometimes, national authorities may be overly focused on promoting return without giving due consideration to other possible durable solutions, such as local integration or relocation in another part of the country.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

**For States**

**RECOMMENDATION 22:** States should ensure that all branches and agencies of the public authorities are fully informed of the need for meaningful consultation with and active engagement of IDPs and host communities in decision-making on durable solutions. (See also Recommendation 10 on the importance of consultation with and active engagement of IDPs in decision-making).

- In crises generating internal displacement, there is most often a great deal of confusion and misinformation about the root causes and/or the way internal displacement is dealt with. There can be mistrust on the part of displaced communities as to the State’s motives and priorities in responding. Regular consultation and meaningful dialogue with IDPs will enable them to better assess their options and exercise their right to make an informed choice on durable solutions, in addition to generating trust in authorities. It will also help State authorities to better understand the concerns and wishes of IDPs and communities and to address those issues of mistrust or misinformation.

---

When consulted, internally displaced communities may be able to propose viable solutions that are both cost-effective for the State and sustainable.

Where the preferred durable solution is not feasible at a given time, the authorities should seek to facilitate transitional solutions to improve the circumstances of IDPs, in dialogue with them. In such cases, the authorities should remain mindful that IDPs do not lose their right to achieve their preferred durable solution when this becomes accessible.

**RECOMMENDATION 23:** States should proactively initiate dialogue with international and national partners and donors on issues related to durable solutions in order to fulfil their own international obligations.

It is incumbent on the State in question to ensure the voluntary, safe and dignified character of all possible durable solutions. At the same time, it is recognized that international assistance may be required to realize appropriate responses.

A proactive approach increases States’ possibilities of benefiting from the experience and resources of international partners and donors and from best practices.

In particular, this can help a State experiencing challenges with internal displacement to connect the urgent humanitarian response to a longer-term development agenda. This can often help address some of the causes and outcomes of displacement.

The financial resources required for effective solutions can be considerable, and this needs to be openly acknowledged by all stakeholders.

**RECOMMENDATION 24:** States (and other relevant stakeholders) should ensure that any peace agreement contains specific provisions and recommendations on addressing and solving existing IDP-related situations, as necessary, taking into account the challenges of the context.

This would be important to help resolve internal displacement-related problems arising from an armed conflict.

A key aspect to be dealt with in the peace agreement and any post-conflict transitional justice processes would relate to durable solutions, such as voluntary return for IDPs.82

Other issues may be land reform, reparations, etc., depending on the conflict.

**For other actors**

**RECOMMENDATION 25:** The UN and other international actors that are in a position to do so, should contribute to monitoring conditions of return, with particular attention to the perspectives and concerns of the IDP communities in question. They should also help ensure the voluntary and safe character of return and other durable solutions, as well as safety, dignity and adequate conditions for IDPs in their current places.

Public authorities may experience difficulties in establishing a meaningful dialogue with the displaced communities. In these cases, the UN and other international actors can play a useful role in engaging with IDPs to understand their perspectives and concerns.

By doing so, important issues can be brought to the attention of the authorities. This includes the possible lack of sufficient and clear information on durable solution options that are provided to IDPs. Additionally, situations where IDPs may feel that a durable solution is being promoted prematurely by authorities, or without adequate consideration for their wishes, can also be addressed.

Donors can often exert a positive influence by assisting States to develop realistic, mid- to long-term strategies to phase out IDP sites and facilitate return or other durable solutions.

---

82 For an extensive collection of peace accords, with analysis of their implementation and their content, including IDP issues such as durable solutions, see the Peace Accords Matrix Project at the University of Notre Dame: https://peaceaccords.nd.edu/about, consulted 25 October 2016.
CONCLUSION

Representatives of the AU Member States, the AUC and RECs attend the consultation meeting on the initial conclusions of the stocktaking exercise at the AU headquarters in Addis Ababa, on 14 September 2016.
The comprehensive legal framework of the Kampala Convention offers African States the opportunity to improve the daily quality of life for IDPs across the continent by addressing their protection and assistance needs effectively. States can prevent, address and reduce displacement by methodically and comprehensively implementing the Convention. They can ensure that, when displacement occurs, IDPs are provided with assistance and treated with respect for their human dignity and their rights.

This report contains more than 80 Findings and 25 Recommendations. The ICRC hopes that these will be of interest to States, depending on their own approach to the Kampala Convention. A number of major cross-cutting themes emerged in the formulation of the Findings and Recommendations, of which three merit particular mention:

1. The importance for States and other actors of engaging in dialogue with IDP communities in order to ensure their meaningful participation in decision-making on law, policies and programmes that affect them;
2. The urgent need for ensuring access of IDPs to essential services such as health care and education;
3. The vital roles the AU – and RECs and RMs – will play in the future in supporting the efforts of AU Member States to fully implement the Kampala Convention.

While considerable momentum in upholding States’ primary responsibility and obligations to the plight of IDPs has taken place, the Kampala Convention can only truly realize its full potential once all States across the continent have not only joined it, but have also taken the necessary steps to fully implement it. It is hoped that this report will serve to support and expedite States’ ratification, implementation and operationalization of the Convention and the promise it holds for current and future IDPs in Africa.
LOOKING AHEAD

The ICRC is pleased to present the results of the intensive process of analysis and consultation on the implementation of the Kampala Convention. Our commitment to the Convention can be traced back to the early stages of its creation. Since the adoption and the entry into force of the Convention, we have continually offered our expertise and support to the African Union and its Member States to promote the ratification of the Convention and to ensure its domestic and practical implementation.

When we began the stocktaking exercise, our objective was to understand the challenges that States face in translating the Convention into practice and the impact of the Convention on the protection and assistance needs of internally displaced people. Now, almost a year later, it is with great satisfaction that we can publish the findings of this exercise. The good practices and lessons learned, and the concrete recommendations that arise from them, will build a foundation for the next steps in the ICRC’s engagement with the Kampala Convention. The relevance of the exercise has been confirmed by the African Union and its Member States, who consider this report a useful tool for their current and future efforts in implementing the Convention’s obligations more effectively.

The ICRC has been engaged for decades in preventing forced displacement and protecting and assisting IDPs worldwide. Throughout our long operational history in meeting the needs of IDPs, alongside those of other segments of the civilian population affected by armed conflict and other violence, a major part of the ICRC’s programmes have targeted displaced people and host communities in Africa. The stocktaking exercise is meant to be an additional step in improving the lives of IDPs and host communities on the continent. By making the link between the promotion of the law, field protection work and evidence-based policy, the exercise matches the ICRC’s ambition of strengthening protection of people through law, operations and policy, as outlined in our Institutional Strategy 2015–2018.

Our hope is that this report will fulfil a multitude of purposes in the time to come. In the short term, it will serve as a starting point for discussions among States during the first meeting of the Conference of States Parties foreseen under the Kampala Convention. Furthermore, the report can support the African Union and States Parties in their tasks of monitoring and reporting on the status of the Convention’s implementation. The findings of the stocktaking exercise can also inform reflections and sharing of expertise among States on how best to fulfil their primary responsibility to prevent internal displacement, to protect and assist IDPs, and to find durable solutions for IDPs in their countries. For the ICRC, the report’s conclusions will contribute to our bilateral dialogue with authorities on strengthening their response to situations of internal displacement in the many African countries where the ICRC is operating. In the longer term, the shared experiences of African States in the implementation of the Kampala Convention can be an inspiration for States beyond Africa, should they decide to pursue the development of other regional frameworks on IDPs. The ICRC stands ready to support such endeavours.

Dominik Stillhart
Director of Operations
International Committee of the Red Cross


The table below has been produced as part of the stocktaking exercise on the operationalization of the African Union Convention for the Protection and Assistance of Internally Displaced Persons in Africa (Kampala Convention). As such, the table does not take into account provisions related to non-State actors. In addition, it is limited to international humanitarian law (IHL), and more specifically to the Geneva Conventions, their Additional Protocols and customary IHL. International human rights law provisions and standards, therefore, are not included.
Summary of Key Obligations

Member States undertake to prevent arbitrary displacement and to eliminate the root causes of displacement – Art. 3(1).

Adhere to the principles of international humanitarian law and human rights applicable to the protection of internally displaced persons – Principle 6(1).

Obligations

States shall refrain from, prohibit and prevent arbitrary displacement of populations, as well as criminalize acts of arbitrary displacement that amount to genocide, war crimes or crimes against humanity – Arts 3(1)(a) and 4(6).

States shall respect and ensure respect for their obligations under international law, including human rights and humanitarian law, in all circumstances so as to prevent and avoid conditions that might lead to displacement of persons – Principle 5.

Every human being shall have the right to be protected against being arbitrarily displaced from his or her home or place of habitual residence – Principle 6(1).

States shall prevent political, social, cultural and economic exclusion and ensure that all feasible alternatives are explored in order to be taken to minimize displacement and its adverse effects – Principle 7(1).

No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his or her life.

Internally displaced persons shall be protected in particular against:

- a) Genocide;
- b) Individual or mass displacement of civilians in situations of armed conflict, unless the security of the civilians involved or imperative military reasons so demand, in accordance with international humanitarian law;
- c) Displacement intentionally used as a method of warfare or due to other violations of international humanitarian law in situations of comparable gravity;
- d) Displacement based on policies of racial discrimination or other similar practices aimed at altering the ethnic, religious or racial composition of the population;
- e) Forced displacement of civilians in situations of armed conflict caused by generalized violence or violations of human rights;
- f) Forced evacuations in cases of natural or human made disasters or other causes if the evacuations are not required by the safety and health of those affected;
- g) Displacement used as a collective punishment;
- h) Displacement caused by any act, event, factor, or phenomenon of comparable gravity to all of the above and which is not justified under international law, including human rights and international humanitarian law.

Prevention of displacement (including suppression of refuges)

All authorities and international actors shall respect and ensure respect for their obligations under international law, including human rights and humanitarian law, so as to prevent and avoid conditions that might lead to the arbitrary displacement of persons – Art. 4(1).

Noadvertent acts shall be prohibited – Principle 10(1).

States shall respect and ensure respect for their obligations under international law, including human rights and humanitarian law, so as to prevent and avoid conditions that might lead to the arbitrary displacement of persons – Art. 4(1).

Prevention of displacement (including suppression of refuges)

All authorities shall respect the prohibition of displacement of persons and shall prohibit and prosecute or otherwise take action to prevent any displacement of persons – Principle 10(1).

The States have a duty to prevent, prohibit and take actions to prevent and avoid conditions that might lead to displacement of persons – Art. 3(1).

All authorities and international actors shall respect and ensure respect for their obligations under international law, including human rights and humanitarian law, so as to prevent and avoid conditions that might lead to the arbitrary displacement of persons – Principle 5.

Every human being shall have the right to be protected against being arbitrarily displaced from his or her home or place of habitual residence – Principle 6(1).

States shall prevent political, social, cultural and economic exclusion and ensure that all feasible alternatives are explored in order to be taken to minimize displacement and its adverse effects – Principle 7(1).

No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his or her life.

Internally displaced persons shall be protected in particular against:

- a) Genocide;
- b) Individual or mass displacement of civilians in situations of armed conflict, unless the security of the civilians involved or imperative military reasons so demand, in accordance with international humanitarian law;
- c) Displacement intentionally used as a method of warfare or due to other violations of international humanitarian law in situations of comparable gravity;
- d) Displacement based on policies of racial discrimination or other similar practices aimed at altering the ethnic, religious or racial composition of the population;
- e) Forced displacement of civilians in situations of armed conflict caused by generalized violence or violations of human rights;
- f) Forced evacuations in cases of natural or human made disasters or other causes if the evacuations are not required by the safety and health of those affected;
- g) Displacement used as a collective punishment;
- h) Displacement caused by any act, event, factor, or phenomenon of comparable gravity to all of the above and which is not justified under international law, including human rights and international humanitarian law.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Key Obligations</th>
<th>Kampala Convention&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>IHL&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)&lt;sup&gt;4 &amp; 5&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of displacement (including suppression of crime)</td>
<td>States shall incorporate their obligations under this Convention into domestic law by enacting or amending relevant legislation on the protection of, and assistance to, internally displaced persons in conformity with their obligations under international law – Art. 3(2)(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[The objective of this protocol is to] provide a legal basis for the domestication of the Guiding Principles into national legislation by Member States – Art. 2(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall designate an authority/body (where needed) responsible for coordinating assistance and protection activities, and assign responsibilities to appropriate organs for protection and assistance, as well as cooperation with relevant international organizations/CSO, etc. – Art. 3(2)(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Member States shall establish and designate organs of government responsible for disaster emergency preparedness, coordinating protection and assistance to internally displaced persons, as well as the focal structures responsible for cooperating with international agencies and civil society responsible for internally displaced persons – Art. 3(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall devise early warning systems in areas of potential displacement and establish and implement disaster risk reduction strategies, emergency and disaster preparedness and management measures, and, when necessary, provide immediate protection and assistance to IDPs – Art. 4(2)</td>
<td>These Principles are without prejudice to individual criminal responsibility under international law, in particular relating to genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes – Principle 1(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Member States shall establish and designate organs of Government responsible for disaster emergency preparedness – Art. 3(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall ensure individual responsibility for acts of arbitrary displacement in accordance with domestic and international criminal law (ICL) and the accountability of non-State actors (including multinational companies and PMSCs) – Arts 3(1)(g) and 3(1)(h)</td>
<td>All authorities and international actors shall respect and ensure respect for their obligations under international law, including human rights and humanitarian law, in all circumstances, so as to prevent and avoid conditions that might lead to displacement of persons – Principle 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hold members of NSAG criminally responsible for their acts which violate the rights of IDPs under international and domestic law – Art. 7(4)</td>
<td>Hold members of NSAG criminally responsible for their acts which violate the rights of IDPs under international and domestic law – Art. 7(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Kampala Convention

<sup>2</sup> UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement

<sup>3</sup> IHL

<sup>4</sup> Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)

<sup>5</sup> The objective of this protocol is to provide a legal basis for the domestication of the Guiding Principles into national legislation by Member States – Art. 2(3)

Member States shall enact national legislation to domesticate the Guiding Principles fully and to provide a legal framework for their implementation within national legal system – Art. 6(3)

Member States shall establish and designate organs of government responsible for disaster emergency preparedness, coordinating protection and assistance to internally displaced persons, as well as the focal structures responsible for cooperating with international agencies and civil society responsible for internally displaced persons – Art. 3(5)

These Principles are without prejudice to individual criminal responsibility under international law, in particular relating to genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes – Principle 1(2)

All authorities and international actors shall respect and ensure respect for their obligations under international law, including human rights and humanitarian law, in all circumstances, so as to prevent and avoid conditions that might lead to displacement of persons – Principle 5

GC I, Art. 49; AP I, Art. 85(1); CIHL Rules 151 and 156

CIHL Rules 151 and 156
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Key Obligations</th>
<th>Kampala Convention</th>
<th>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement</th>
<th>IHL</th>
<th>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>States shall bear the primary duty and responsibility for providing protection of and assistance to IDPs within their territory or jurisdiction without discrimination of any kind – Art. 5(1)</td>
<td>National authorities have the primary duty and responsibility to provide protection and humanitarian assistance to internally displaced persons within their jurisdiction – Principle 3(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Member States accept that they bear the primary responsibility for the protection of the physical and material safety of internally displaced persons during flight, in places of displacement, and upon return, or resettlement elsewhere within the territory of the State – Art. 3(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States shall assess or facilitate assessment of the needs and vulnerabilities of IDPs, in cooperation with international organizations – Art. 5(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Member States shall be responsible for assessing the needs of internally displaced persons – Art. 3(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States shall also promote self-reliance and sustainable livelihoods amongst IDPs (however, such measures are not to be used as a basis for neglecting the protection of and assistance to IDPs) – Art. 3(1)(k)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States shall assess or facilitate assessment of the needs and vulnerabilities of IDPs, in cooperation with international organizations – Art. 4(2)(c)</td>
<td>Certain internally displaced persons, such as children, especially unaccompanied minors, expectant mothers, mothers with young children, female heads of household, persons with disabilities and elderly persons, shall be entitled to protection and assistance required by their condition and to treatment which takes into account their special needs – Principle 4(2)</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 17, 23-24, 27 and 50; AP I, Arts 10, 70(1), 76, 77 and 78; AP II, Arts 4(3) and 7; CIHL Rules 131, 134, 135, 136 and 138</td>
<td>Provide special protection for displaced populations, communities, pastoralists and other groups, with a special dependency on and attachment to their lands, consistently with the provisions of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966), the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights (1981), and the Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement – Art. 4(1)(c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States shall endeavour to protect communities with special attachment to, and dependency, on land due to their particular culture and spiritual values from being displaced from such lands, except for compelling and overriding public interests – Art. 4(5)</td>
<td>States are under a particular obligation to protect against the displacement of indigenous peoples, minorities, peasants, pastoralists and other groups with a special dependency on and attachment to their lands – Principle 9</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 4 and 27; AP I, Arts 48, 51, 52, and 75; AP II, Arts 4 and 13; CIHL Rules 1 and 7-9</td>
<td>Provide special protection for women, children, the vulnerable, and displaced persons with disabilities – Art. 4(1)(d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States must respect and ensure respect for the humanitarian and civilian character of the protection and assistance to IDPs, including by ensuring that such persons do not engage in subversive activities – Art. 3(1)(f)</td>
<td>Humanitarian assistance to internally displaced persons shall not be diverted, in particular for political or military reasons – Principle 24</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 4 and 27; AP I, Arts 48, 51, 52, and 75; AP II, Arts 4 and 13; CIHL Rules 1 and 7-9</td>
<td>Member States shall safeguard and maintain the civilian and humanitarian character of the protection and location of internally displaced persons in accordance with international guidelines on the separation of armed elements – Art. 3(9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principle 10(2) on the protection of IDP from their use to shield military objectives.

GC IV, Arts 4 and 27; AP I, Arts 48, 51, 52, and 75; AP II, Arts 4 and 13; CIHL Rules 1 and 7-9


 Other relevant rules that could be seen as underpinning the importance of respecting IDP camps are: CIHL Rules 15, 22, and 131

Respect and uphold Security Council Resolution 1296 applicable to the protection of the civilian population during armed conflict – Art. 4(1)(b)

Albeit no direct reference to the civilian character, this latter can be deducted from other principles as in particular Principle 10(2) on the protection of IDP from their use to shield military objectives.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Key Obligations</th>
<th>Kampala Convention</th>
<th>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement</th>
<th>IHL</th>
<th>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obligations related to both assistance and protection of IDPs</strong></td>
<td>States shall consult with IDPs and allow them to participate in decisions relating to protection and assistance – Art. 9(2)(k)</td>
<td>The free and informed consent of those to be displaced shall be sought – Principle 7(3)(c)</td>
<td>Respect and uphold Security Council Resolution 1296 applicable to the protection of the civilian population during armed conflict as well as Security Council Resolution 1325 applicable to the protection of women and their role during armed conflict, including their participation in decision making and administration of programmes, with respect to their safety, welfare, health needs, sanitary care, reproductive rights, food distribution, and the process of return – Art. 4(1)(b)</td>
<td>Member States shall ensure the effective participation of IDPs in the preparation and design of national legislation to domesticate the Guiding Principles – Art. 6(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To the extent possible, States must provide the necessary funds for assistance without prejudice to receiving international support – Art. 3(2)(d)</td>
<td>Special efforts should be made to ensure the full participation of women in the planning and distribution of these basic supplies – Principle 18(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Where Governments of Member States lack the capacity to assist IDPs, such Governments shall accept and respect the obligation of the organs of the international community to provide protection and assistance to IDPs – Art. 3(10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary obligation to assist IDPs</strong></td>
<td>States must ensure assistance to IDPs by meeting their basic needs and allowing/facilitating rapid and unimpeded access to humanitarian organizations and personnel – Arts 3(1)(j)</td>
<td>1. The primary duty and responsibility for providing humanitarian assistance to internally displaced persons lies with national authorities. 2. An offer of services by humanitarian organizations in support of the internally displaced shall not be arbitrarily withheld, particularly when authorities concerned are unable or unwilling to provide the required humanitarian assistance. 3. All authorities concerned shall grant and facilitate the free passage of humanitarian assistance and grant persons engaged in the provision of such assistance rapid and unimpeded access to the internally displaced – Principle 25</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 23, 55 and 59; AP I, Arts 70(2) and (3); AP II, Art. 18(2); CIHL Rule 55</td>
<td>Member States shall facilitate rapid and unimpeded humanitarian access and assistance to internally displaced persons – Art. 3(6). Where Governments of Member States lack the capacity to protect and assist internally displaced persons, such Governments shall accept and respect the obligation of the organs of the international community to provide protection and assistance to internally displaced persons – Art. 3(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of Key Obligations</td>
<td>Kampala Convention¹</td>
<td>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement²</td>
<td>IHL³</td>
<td>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)⁴ ⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary obligation to assist IDPs</strong></td>
<td>States shall provide IDPs to the fullest extent practicable and with the least possible delay with adequate humanitarian assistance which shall include food, water, shelter, medical care and other health services, sanitation, education and other necessary social services, and where appropriate, extend such services to host communities – Art. 9(2)(b)</td>
<td>The authorities undertaking such displacement shall ensure, to the greatest practicable extent, that proper accommodation is provided to the displaced persons; that such displacements are effected in satisfactory conditions of safety, nutrition, health and hygiene, and that members of the same family are not separated – Principle 7(2) 1. All internally displaced persons have the right to an adequate standard of living. 2. At the minimum, regardless of the circumstances, and without discrimination, competent authorities shall provide internally displaced persons with and ensure safe access to: a) Essential food and potable water; b) Basic shelter and housing; c) Appropriate clothing; and d) Essential medical services and sanitation. 3. Special efforts should be made to ensure the full participation of women in the planning and distribution of these basic supplies – Principle 18 All wounded and sick internally displaced persons as well as those with disabilities shall receive to the fullest extent practicable and with the least possible delay, the medical care and attention they require, without distinction on any grounds other than medical ones. When necessary, internally displaced persons shall have access to psychological and social services – Principle 19(1) Every human being has the right to education. – Principle 23 ⁸ Common Article 3; GC IV, Arts 16, 23, 24(1) 27, 49(3), 50, 55, 56 and 59; AP I, Arts 10, 54, 70 and 75(1); AP II, Arts 4(1), 4(3)(a), 7(2), 8, 14, 17(1) and 18(2); CIHL Rules 53-55, 109-110 and 131</td>
<td>Common Article 3; GC IV, Arts 16, 23, 24(1) 27, 49(3), 50, 55, 56 and 59; AP I, Arts 10, 54, 70 and 75(1); AP II, Arts 4(1), 4(3)(a), 7(2), 8, 14, 17(1) and 18(2); CIHL Rules 53-55, 109-110 and 131</td>
<td>Extend protection and assistance, according to need, to communities residing in areas hosting IDPs – Art. 4(1)(2) Ensure the safe location of internally displaced persons, in satisfactory conditions of dignity, hygiene, water, food and shelter, away from areas of armed conflict and danger, and having regard to the special needs of women, children, the vulnerable, and persons with disabilities – Art. 4(1)(f) Establish a regional mechanism in the Great Lakes Region for monitoring the protection of internally displaced persons under this IDP Protocol, provided that such a mechanism shall not affect the supervisory role of the United Nations Commission on Human Rights and treaty bodies, and the African Commission and Court on Human and Peoples’ Rights, and the right of internally displaced persons to bring complaints before these bodies – Art. 4(j)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁸ Principle 23 also describes how to give effect to the right to education.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Key Obligations</th>
<th>Kampala Convention¹</th>
<th>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement²</th>
<th>IHL³</th>
<th>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)⁴ ⁵</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary obligation to protect IDPs</td>
<td>States must respect, ensure respect for, and protect the human rights of IDPs including humane treatment, non-discrimination, equality, equal protection of the law – Art. 3(1)(d).</td>
<td>Internally displaced persons shall enjoy, in full equality, the same rights and freedoms under international and domestic law as do other persons in their country. They shall not be discriminated against in the enjoyment of any rights and freedoms on the ground that they are internally displaced – Principle 1(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Member States accept that they bear the primary responsibility for the protection of the physical and material safety of internally displaced persons during flight, in places of displacement, and upon return, or resettlement elsewhere within the territory of the State – Article 3(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National authorities have the primary duty and responsibility to provide protection and humanitarian assistance to internally displaced persons within their jurisdiction – Principle 3(1)</td>
<td>These Principles shall be applied without discrimination of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion or belief, political or other opinion, national, ethnic or social origin, legal or social status, age, disability, property, birth, or on any other similar criteria – Principle 4(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adhere to the principles of international humanitarian law and human rights applicable to the protection of internally displaced persons in general and as reflected in the Guiding Principles in particular – Article 4(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internally displaced persons shall enjoy, in full equality, the same rights and freedoms under international and domestic law as do other persons in their country. They shall not be discriminated against in the enjoyment of any rights and freedoms on the ground that they are internally displaced – Principle 1(1)</td>
<td>Internally displaced persons shall be protected in particular against:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National authorities have the primary duty and responsibility to provide protection and humanitarian assistance to internally displaced persons within their jurisdiction – Principle 3(1)</td>
<td>a) Genocide; b) Murder; c) Summary or arbitrary executions; and d) Enforced disappearances, including abduction or unacknowledged detention, threatening or resulting in death. Threats and incitement to commit any of the foregoing acts shall be prohibited – Principle 10(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internally displaced persons shall be protected against:</td>
<td>Every human being has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law – Principle 20(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internally displaced persons, whether or not they are living in camps, shall not be discriminated against as a result of their displacement in the enjoyment of the following rights:</td>
<td>Internally displaced persons, whether or not they are living in camps, shall not be discriminated against as a result of their displacement in the enjoyment of the following rights:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) The rights to freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief, opinion and expression; b) The right to seek freely opportunities for employment and to participate in economic activities; c) The right to participate freely and to participate equally in community affairs; d) The right to vote and to participate in governmental and public affairs, including the right to have access to the means necessary to exercise this right; and e) The right to communicate in a language they understand – Principle 22</td>
<td>e) The right to communicate in a language they understand – Principle 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States must refrain from, arbitrary displacement – Art. 3(1)(a)</td>
<td>All authorities and international organizations shall respect and ensure respect for their obligations under international law, including humanitarian law, in all circumstances, so as to prevent and avoid conditions that might lead to displacement of persons – Principle 5</td>
<td>All authorities and international actors shall respect and ensure respect for their obligations under international law, including human rights and humanitarian law, in all circumstances, so as to prevent and avoid conditions that might lead to displacement of persons – Principle 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All persons have a right to be protected against arbitrary displacement – Art. 4(4)</td>
<td>Every human being shall have the right to be protected against being arbitrarily displaced from his or her home or place of habitual residence – Principle 6(1)</td>
<td>Every human being shall have the right to be protected against being arbitrarily displaced from his or her home or place of habitual residence – Principle 6(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Common Article 3; GC I, Arts 49; GC IV, Arts 49 and 147; AP I, Arts 51(7), 78(1), 85(1) and 85(4)(a); AP II, Arts 4(3) and 17; CIHL Rules 123, 139, 144 and 156
² GC IV, Arts 27(3) and 27; AP I, Art. 75; AP II, Arts 2(1) and 4(1); CIHL Rules 87 and 88
³ Member States undertake to prevent arbitrary displacement and to eliminate the root causes of displacement – Art. 3(1)
⁴ Adhere to the principles of international humanitarian law and human rights applicable to the protection of internally displaced persons in general and as reflected in the Guiding Principles in particular – Article 4(1)(a)
⁵ Member States accept that they bear the primary responsibility for the protection of the physical and material safety of internally displaced persons during flight, in places of displacement, and upon return, or resettlement elsewhere within the territory of the State – Article 3(3)
### Summary of Key Obligations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kampala Convention¹</th>
<th>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement²</th>
<th>IHL³</th>
<th>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)⁴ ⁵</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>States shall protect the rights of IDPs by refraining from and preventing: discrimination, genocide, crimes against humanity, war crimes and other violations of IHL; arbitrary killing, summary execution, arbitrary detention, abduction, enforced disappearance, torture and other forms of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, sexual and gender based violence (e.g. rape, enforced prostitution, sexual exploitation slavery) and starvation – Art. 9(1)(a)–(e)</td>
<td>These Principles are without prejudice to individual criminal responsibility under international law, in particular relating to genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes – Principle 1(2) These Principles shall be applied without discrimination of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion or belief, political or other opinion, national, ethnic or social origin, legal or social status, age, disability, property, birth, or on any other similar criteria – Principle 4(1) Displacement shall not be carried out in a manner that violates the rights to life, dignity, liberty and security of those affected – Principle 8 Every human being has the inherent right to life which shall be protected by law. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his or her life. Internally displaced persons shall be protected in particular against: a) Genocide; b) Murder; c) Summary or arbitrary executions; and d) Enforced disappearances, including abduction or unacknowledged detention, threatening or resulting in death. Threats and incitement to commit any of the foregoing acts shall be prohibited – Principle 10(1) Internally displaced persons, whether or not their liberty has been restricted, shall be protected in particular against: a) Rape, mutilation, torture, cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, and other outrages upon personal dignity, such as acts of gender-specific violence, forced prostitution and any form of indecent assault; b) Slavery or any contemporary form of slavery, such as sale into marriage, sexual exploitation, or forced labour of children; and c) Acts of violence intended to spread terror among internally displaced persons. Threats and incitement to commit any of the foregoing acts shall be prohibited – Principle 11(2) Every human being has the right to liberty and security of person. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest or detention. To give effect to this right for internally displaced persons, they shall not be interned in or confined to a camp. If in exceptional circumstances such internment or confinement is absolutely necessary, it shall not last longer than required by the circumstances. Internally displaced persons shall be protected from discriminatory arrest and detention as a result of their displacement. In no case shall internally displaced persons be taken hostage – Principle 12 IDPs shall be protected against discriminatory practices of recruitment into the armed forces as a result of their displacement. In particular any cruel, inhuman or degrading practices that compel compliance or punish non-compliance with recruitment are prohibited in all circumstances – Principle 13(2)</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 27, 32, 34, 146, and 147; AP I, Arts 51, 75, 76, 77 and 85; AP II, Arts 4 and 13; CIHL Rules 1, 7, 89, 90-94, 96, 98-99 and 156²</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 27, 32, 34, 146, and 147; AP I, Arts 51, 75, 76, 77 and 85; AP II, Arts 4 and 13; CIHL Rules 1, 7, 89, 90-94, 96, 98-99 and 156²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

9. As this list is not exhaustive, other provisions can be relevant here and in particular those pertaining to the criminal repression of war crimes and other violations of IHL.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Key Obligations</th>
<th>Kampala Convention</th>
<th>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement</th>
<th>IHL</th>
<th>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary obligation to protect IDPs</td>
<td>States must respect and ensure respect for the principles of humanity and human dignity of IDPs – Art. 3(1)(c)</td>
<td>Displacement shall not be carried out in a manner that violates the rights to life, dignity, liberty and security of those affected – Principle 8</td>
<td>In addition to IHL provisions that are explicitly included in the Kampala Convention, other relevant IHL provisions include: care and aid for children, particularly education, family reunification, and protection against forced recruitment; respect and protection for wounded IDPs; procedural safeguards and judicial guarantees for IDPs who are detained (Common Article 1; GC IV, Arts 5, 16(2), 23–24, 26–27, 38, 49–51, 66-76, 82(2–3), 89 and 94(2); AP I, Arts 70(1), 74, 75 and 77; AP II, Arts 4(3) and 6; CIHL Rules 100, 105, 111, 131, 135, 136, 139 and 144)</td>
<td>Adhere to the principles of international humanitarian law and human rights applicable to the protection of internally displaced persons in general and as reflected in the Guiding Principles in particular – Art. 4 (1) (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States must respect and ensure respect for IHL regarding the protection of IDPs – Art. 3(1)(e)</td>
<td>These Principles shall not be interpreted as restricting, modifying or impairing the provisions of any international human rights or international humanitarian law instrument or rights granted to persons under domestic law. In particular, these Principles are without prejudice to the right to seek and enjoy asylum in other countries – Principle 2(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attacks or other acts of violence against internally displaced persons who do not or no longer participate in hostilities are prohibited in all circumstances. Internally displaced persons shall be protected, in particular, against:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) Direct or indiscriminate attacks or other acts of violence, including the creation of areas wherein attacks on civilians are permitted;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Starvation as a method of combat;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Their use to shield military objectives from attack or to shield, favour or impede military operations;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d) Attacks against their camps or settlements; and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e) the use of anti-personnel landmines – Principle 10(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In no circumstances shall displaced children be recruited nor be required or permitted to take part in hostilities – Principle 13(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States shall take measures to ensure that IDPs are received without discrimination and live in satisfactory conditions of safety, dignity and security – Art. 9(2)(a)</td>
<td>These Principles shall be applied without discrimination of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion or belief, political or other opinion, national, ethnic or social origin, legal or social status, age, disability, property, birth, or on any other similar criteria – Principle 4(1)</td>
<td>The authorities undertaking such displacement shall ensure, to the greatest practicable extent, that proper accommodation is provided to the displaced persons, that such displacements are effected in satisfactory conditions of safety, nutrition, health and hygiene, and that members of the same family are not separated – Principle 7(2)</td>
<td>1. All internally displaced persons have the right to an adequate standard of living. 2. At the minimum, regardless of the circumstances, and without discrimination, competent authorities shall provide internally displaced persons with and ensure safe access to: a) Essential food and potable water; b) Basic shelter and housing; c) Appropriate clothing; and d) Essential medical services and sanitation. 3. Special efforts should be made to ensure the full participation of women in the planning and distribution of these basic supplies – Principle 18</td>
<td>Ensure the safe location of internally displaced persons, in satisfactory conditions of dignity, hygiene, water, food and shelter, away from areas of armed conflict and danger, and having regard to the special needs of women, children, the vulnerable, and persons with disabilities – Art. 4(1)(f)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^1]: Common Article 3; GC IV, Art. 27; AP I, Art. 75; AP II, Arts 2(1) and 4(1); CIHL Rules 87 and 88
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Key Obligations</th>
<th>Kampala Convention&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>IHL&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary obligation to protect IDPs</strong></td>
<td>States shall take special measures to protect and provide the reproductive and sexual health of internally displaced women, as well as psychosocial support for victims of sexual and other related abuses – Art. 9(2)(d)</td>
<td>All wounded and sick internally displaced persons as well as those with disabilities shall receive to the fullest extent practicable and with the least possible delay, the medical care and attention they require, without distinction on any grounds other than medical ones. When necessary, internally displaced persons shall have access to psychological and social services – Principle 19(1)</td>
<td>GC IV, Art. 16(2); AP I, Art. 10(2); AP II, Art. 7(2); CIHL Rules 110 and 131</td>
<td>Ensure the safe location of internally displaced persons, in satisfactory conditions of dignity, hygiene, water, food and shelter, away from areas of armed conflict and danger, and having regard to the special needs of women, children, the vulnerable, and persons with disabilities – Art. 4(1)(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall respect and ensure the right to seek safety in another part of the State and to be protected against forcible return to or resettlement in any place where their life, safety, liberty and health would be at risk – Art. 9(2)(e)</td>
<td>Internally displaced persons have: a) The right to seek safety in another part of the country; b) The right to leave their country; c) The right to seek asylum in another country; and d) The right to be protected against forcible return to or resettlement in any place where their life, safety, liberty and/or health would be at risk – Principle 15</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 49 and 147; AP I, Arts 51(7), 78(1) and 85(4)(a); AP II, Arts 49(5), and 17; CIHL Rules 129 and 132.</td>
<td>Respect and uphold Security Council Resolution 1296 applicable to the protection of the civilian population during armed conflict as well as Security Council Resolution 1325 applicable to the protection of women and their role during armed conflict, including their participation in decision making and administration of programmes, with respect to their safety, welfare, health needs, sanitary care, reproductive rights, food distribution, and the process of return – Art. 4(1)(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall guarantee the freedom of movement and choice of residence of IDPs, except where restrictions on such movement and residence are necessary, justified and proportionate to the requirements of ensuring security for IDPs or maintaining public security, public order and public health – Art. 9(2)(f)</td>
<td>1. Every human being has the right to liberty and security of person. No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest or detention. 2. To give effect to this right for internally displaced persons, they shall not be interned in or confined to a camp. If in exceptional circumstances such internment or confinement is absolutely necessary, it shall not last longer than required by the circumstances. 3. Internally displaced persons shall be protected from discriminatory arrest and detention as a result of their displacement. 4. In no case shall internally displaced persons be taken hostage – Principle 12 Every internally displaced person has the right to liberty of movement and freedom to choose his or her residence. In particular, internally displaced persons have the right to move freely in and out of camps or other settlements – Principle 14</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 42-43 and 78; AP I, Art. 75; AP II, Art. 5(1); CIHL Rule 99</td>
<td>Ensure freedom of movement and choice of residence within designated areas of location, except when restriction on such movement and residence are necessary, justified, and proportionate to the requirements of maintaining public security, public order and public health – Art. 4(1)(g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of Key Obligations</td>
<td>Kampala Convention</td>
<td>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement</td>
<td>IHL</td>
<td>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary obligation to protect IDPs</td>
<td>States shall respect and maintain the civilian and humanitarian character of the places where IDPs are sheltered and safeguard such locations against infiltration by armed groups or elements and disarm and separate such groups or elements from internally displaced persons – Art. 9(2)(g)</td>
<td>Humanitarian assistance to internally displaced persons shall not be diverted, in particular for political or military reasons – Principle 24</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 4 and 27; AP I, Arts 48, 51, 52, and 75; AP II, Arts 4 and 13; OHL Rules 1 and 7-9</td>
<td>Member States shall safeguard and maintain the civilian and humanitarian character of the protection and location of internally displaced persons in accordance with international guidelines on the separation of armed elements – Art. 3(9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| States shall take necessary measures to trace and reunite families and otherwise facilitate the re-establishment of family ties – Art. 9(2)(h) | 1. All internally displaced persons have the right to know the fate and whereabouts of missing relatives.
2. The authorities concerned shall endeavour to establish the fate and whereabouts of internally displaced persons reported missing, and cooperate with relevant international organizations engaged in this task. They shall inform the next of kin on the progress of the investigation and notify them of any result. – Principle 16 (1-2) | GC IV, Arts 25, 26, 27, 49 and 82(2-3); AP I, Arts 74 and 75(5); AP II, Art. 4(3); OHL Rules 105 and 117 | Facilitate family reunification, and to provide, if necessary, special protection for families of mixed ethnic identity – Art. 4(1)(h) |
| 1. Every human being has the right to respect of his or her family life.
2. To give effect to this right for internally displaced persons, family members who wish to remain together shall be allowed to do so.
3. Families which are separated by displacement should be reunited as quickly as possible. All appropriate steps shall be taken to expedite the reunion of such families, particularly when children are involved. The responsible authorities shall facilitate inquiries made by family members and encourage and cooperate with the work of humanitarian organizations engaged in the task of family reunification.
4. Members of internally displaced families whose personal liberty has been restricted by internment or confinement in camps shall have the right to remain together – Principle 17 | Facilitate family reunification, and to provide, if necessary, special protection for families of mixed ethnic identity – Art. 4(1)(h) |

10 Principle 16(3)–(4) also refers to forensic activities which are not referred to in the Kampala Convention.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Key Obligations</th>
<th>Kampala Convention&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>IHL&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary obligation to protect IDPs</strong></td>
<td>States shall create and maintain an updated register of all IDPs within their jurisdiction or effective control. In doing so, states can collaborate with international organizations or humanitarian agencies or civil society – Art. 13(1)</td>
<td>Every human being has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law. To give effect to this right for internally displaced persons, the authorities concerned shall issue to them all documents necessary for the enjoyment and exercise of their legal rights, such as passports, personal identification documents, birth certificates and marriage certificates. In particular, the authorities shall facilitate the issuance of new documents or the replacement of documents lost in the course of displacement, without imposing unreasonable conditions, such as requiring the return to one's area of habitual residence in order to obtain these or other required documents.</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 50 and 97(6)</td>
<td>Member States shall, to the extent necessary, assist IDPs with registration and, in such cases, Member States shall maintain a national database for the registration of internally displaced persons – Art. 3(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall ensure that IDPs are issued relevant ID documents – Art. 13(2). In this regard women and men, as well as unaccompanied minors shall have equal rights to obtain ID documents – Art. 13(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States must take measures to protect individual, collective and cultural property of IDPs – Art. 9(2)(i)</td>
<td>1. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of property and possessions. 2. The property and possessions of internally displaced persons shall in all circumstances be protected, in particular, against the following acts: a) Pillage; b) Direct or indiscriminate attacks or other acts of violence; c) Being used to shield military operations or objectives; d) Being made the object of reprisal; and e) Being destroyed or appropriated as a form of collective punishment.</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 33(2) and 147; AP I, Arts 51, 52, 75(2)(d) and 85; CIHL Rules 7, 11, 51, 52 and 133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Where available resources are inadequate, states shall cooperate in seeking the assistance of international organizations and humanitarian agencies, CSO and other relevant actors – Art. 5(6)</td>
<td>International humanitarian organizations and other appropriate actors have the right to offer their services in support of the internally displaced. Such an offer shall not be regarded as an unfriendly act or an interference in a State's internal affairs and shall be considered in good faith. Consent thereto shall not be arbitrarily withheld, particularly when authorities concerned are unable or unwilling to provide the required humanitarian assistance – Principle 25(2)</td>
<td>GC IV, Art. 59; AP I, Arts 70 and 71; AP II, Art. 18; CIHL Rule 55</td>
<td>Where governments of Member States lack the capacity to assist IDPs, such governments shall accept and respect the obligation of the organs of the international community to provide protection and assistance to IDPs – Art. 3(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall take necessary steps to effectively organize relief action that is humanitarian and impartial – Art. 5(7)</td>
<td>The primary duty and responsibility for providing humanitarian assistance to internally displaced persons lies with national authorities – Principle 25(1) All humanitarian assistance shall be carried out in accordance with the principles of humanity and impartiality and without discrimination, and humanitarian assistance to IDPs shall not be diverted, in particular for political or military reasons – Principle 24</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 23, 55 and 59; AP I, Arts 69, 70 and 71; AP II, Art. 18; CIHL Rule 55</td>
<td>Observe humanitarian principles and ethical standards relating to the provision of assistance to displaced persons in need of such assistance – Art. 4(1)(i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of Key Obligations</td>
<td>Kampala Convention¹</td>
<td>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement²</td>
<td>IHL³</td>
<td>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)⁴ ⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obligations concerning humanitarian actors</strong></td>
<td>States shall allow rapid and unimpeded passage of all consignments, equipment and personnel to IDPs. In this regard, States have the right to prescribe the technical arrangements under which such passage is permitted – Art. 5(7)</td>
<td>All authorities concerned shall grant and facilitate the free passage of humanitarian assistance and grant persons engaged in the provision of such assistance rapid and unimpeded access to the internally displaced – Principle 25(3)</td>
<td>GC IV, Arts 23, 55 and 59; AP I, Arts 70, 71; AP II, Art. 18; OHL Rule 55</td>
<td>Member States shall facilitate rapid and unimpeded humanitarian access and assistance to internally displaced persons – Art. 3(6). Where Governments of Member States lack the capacity to protect and assist internally displaced persons, such Governments shall accept and respect the obligation of the organs of the international community to provide protection and assistance to internally displaced persons – Art. 3(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall respect, protect and not attack humanitarian personnel and resources deployed for assistance or benefit of IDPs – Art. 5(10)</td>
<td>Persons engaged in humanitarian assistance, their transport and supplies shall be respected and protected. They shall not be the object of attack or other acts of violence – Principle 26</td>
<td>AP I, Arts 70 and 71; OHL Rule 31</td>
<td>Member States shall also ensure the safety and security of humanitarian personnel in areas of displacement – Art. 3(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall seek lasting solutions to the problem of displacement by promoting and creating satisfactory conditions for voluntary return, for integration or relocation on a sustainable basis and in circumstances of safety and dignity – Art. 11(1)</td>
<td>Competent authorities have the primary duty and responsibility to establish conditions, as well as provide the means, which allow internally displaced persons to return voluntarily, in safety and with dignity, to their homes or places of habitual residence, or to resettle voluntarily in another part of the country. Such authorities shall endeavour to facilitate the reintegration of returned or resettled internally displaced persons – Principle 28(1)</td>
<td>GC IV, Art. 49(2); AP II, Art. 17; OHL Rules 129 and 132</td>
<td>Member States accept that they bear the primary responsibility for the protection of the physical and material safety of IDPs upon return, or resettlement elsewhere within the territory of the State – Art. 3(3) Member States shall undertake to return and reintegrate, or resettle the displaced persons and populations as provided for under Section V of the Guiding Principles – Art. 5(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall enable IDPs to make a free and informed choice on whether to return, integrate locally or relocate by consulting them on the options – Art. 11(2)</td>
<td>If displacement occurs in situations other than during the emergency stages of armed conflicts and disasters, the following guarantees shall be complied with: adequate measures shall be taken to guarantee to those to be displaced full information where applicable on relocation; the authorities concerned shall endeavour to involve those affected, particularly women, in the planning and management of their relocation – Principle 7(b) and (d) Special efforts should be made to ensure the full participation of internally displaced persons in the planning and management of their return or resettlement and reintegration – Principle 28(2)</td>
<td>GC IV, Art. 49(2); AP II, Art. 17; OHL Rules 129 and 132</td>
<td>Respect and uphold Security Council Resolution 1296 applicable to the protection of the civilian population during armed conflict as well as Security Council Resolution 1325 applicable to the protection of women and their role during armed conflict, including their participation in decision making and administration of programmes, with respect to their safety, welfare, health needs, sanitary care, reproductive rights, food distribution, and the process of return – Art. 4 (1) (b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Kampala Convention
² UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement
³ IHL
⁴ Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)
⁵ IDP Protocol
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Key Obligations</th>
<th>Kampala Convention</th>
<th>UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement</th>
<th>IHL</th>
<th>Great Lakes Pact (IDP Protocol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facilitating durable solutions (return, local integration or relocation)</td>
<td>States shall cooperate where appropriate with international organizations or humanitarian agencies/CSOs, in providing protection and assistance in the course of finding and implementing solutions for sustainable return, local integration or relocation and long-term reconstruction – Art. 11(3)</td>
<td>All authorities concerned shall grant and facilitate for international humanitarian organizations and other appropriate actors, in the exercise of their respective mandates, rapid and unimpeded access to internally displaced persons to assist in their return or resettlement and reintegration – Principle 30</td>
<td>IHL Rule 150 and 133</td>
<td>Member States shall undertake to return and reintegrate, or resettle the displaced persons and populations as provided for under Section V of the Guiding Principles – Art. 5(7) and consequently Principle 30.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>States shall establish an effective legal framework to provide just and fair compensation and other forms of reparation for damage incurred as a result of displacement – Art. 12(2)</td>
<td>If displacement occurs in situations other than during the emergency stages of armed conflicts and disasters, the right to an effective remedy, including the review of such decisions by appropriate judicial authorities, shall be respected – Principle 7(f) Competent authorities have the duty and responsibility to assist returned and/or resettle internally displaced persons to recover, to the extent possible, their property and possessions which they left behind or were dispossessed of upon their displacement. When recovery of such property and possessions is not possible, competent authorities shall provide or assist these persons in obtaining appropriate compensation or another form of just reparation – Principle 29(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 IHL requires States responsible for violating its provisions to make full reparation for the loss or injury caused (AP I, Art. 91; CIHL Rule 150). However, the right of individuals to claim reparation is not recognized in treaty law. That being said, there is growing State practice to this effect, as well as soft law instruments that provide for this right (UN General Assembly, Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law, resolution adopted by the General Assembly, 21 March 2006, A/RES/60/147, http://www.refworld.org/docid/4721cb942.html, consulted 30 September 2016). Finally, this is further complemented by human rights obligations regarding reparations.
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